arXiv:1212.6444v3 [math.AG] 15 Jan 2013

CATEGORIFICATION OF DONALDSON-THOMAS INVARIANTS VIA PERVERSE SHEAVES

YOUNG-HOON KIEM AND JUN LI

Abstract. We show that there is a perverse sheaf on a fine moduli space of stable sheaves on a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold, which is locally the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles for a local Chern-Simons functional, possibly after taking an étale Galois cover. This perverse sheaf gives us a cohomology theory which enables us to define the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants mathematically.

1. Introduction

The Donaldson-Thomas invariant is a virtual count of stable sheaves on a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold Y over $\mathbb{C}$ which was defined as the degree of the virtual fundamental class of the moduli space $\mathfrak{X}$ of stable sheaves ([28]). Using microlocal analysis, Behrend showed that the DT invariant is in fact the Euler number of the moduli space, weighted by a constructible function $\nu_{\mathfrak{X}}$, called the Behrend function ([1]). Since the ordinary Euler number is the alternating sum of Betti numbers of cohomology groups, it is reasonable to ask if the DT invariant is in fact the Euler number of a cohomology theory on $\mathfrak{X}$. On the other hand, it has been known that the moduli space is locally the critical locus of a holomorphic function, called a local Chern-Simons functional ([10]). Given a holomorphic function f on a complex manifold V, one has the perverse sheaf $\phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[\dim V-1])$ of vanishing cycles supported on the critical locus and the Euler number of this perverse sheaf at a point x equals $\nu_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)$. This motivated Joyce and Song to raise the following questions ([10, Question 5.7]).

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be the moduli space of simple coherent sheaves on Y. Does there exist a natural perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on the underlying analytic variety $X=\mathfrak{X}_{red}$ which is locally isomorphic to the sheaf $\phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[\dim V-1])$ of vanishing cycles for f,V above?

The purpose of this paper is to provide an affirmative answer.

Theorem 1.1. *(Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.16)*
Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a quasi-projective moduli space of simple sheaves on a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold Y with universal family $\mathcal{E}$ and let $X=\mathfrak{X}_{red}$ be the reduced scheme of $\mathfrak{X}$. Then there exist an étale Galois cover

$$\rho : X^\dagger \to X = X^\dagger/G$$

and a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$, which is locally isomorphic to the perverse sheaf $\phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[\dim V-1])$ of vanishing cycles for the local Chern-Simons functional f. In

---

Date: v3, January 12, 2013.

YHK was partially supported by NRF grant 2011-0027969. JL was partially supported by the grant NSF-1104553.

fact, for any étale Galois cover $\rho : X^\dagger \to X$*, there exists such a perverse sheaf* $P^\bullet$ *if and only if the line bundle* $\rho^* \det(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))$ *admits a square root on* $X^\dagger$ *where* $\pi : X \times Y \to X$ *is the projection and* $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) = R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$.

Note that the perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ may not be unique because we can always twist $P^\bullet$ by a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-local system on X.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, the G-invariant part $\mathbb{H}^i(X^\dagger, P^\bullet)^G$ of the hypercohomology of $P^\bullet$ gives us the *DT (Laurent) polynomial*

$$DT_t^Y(X) = \sum_i t^i \dim \mathbb{H}^i(X^\dagger, P^\bullet)^G$$

such that $DT^Y_{-1}(X)$ is the ordinary DT invariant by [1].

Another application is a mathematical theory of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants (GV for short). Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a moduli space of stable sheaves supported on curves of homology class $\beta \in H_2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$. GV invariants are integers $n_h(\beta)$ for $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ defined by an $sl_2 \times sl_2$ action on *some cohomology* of $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $n_0(\beta)$ is the DT invariant of $\mathfrak{X}$ and that they give all genus Gromov-Witten invariants $N_g(\beta)$ of Y. By Theorem 1.1, there are an étale Galois cover $\rho : X^\dagger \to X = X^\dagger/G$ with a finite cyclic Galois group G and a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$ which is locally the pullback of the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles. By the relative hard Lefschetz theorem for simple perverse sheaves, we have an action of $sl_2 \times sl_2$ on $\mathbb{H}^*(X^\dagger; \mathrm{gr}\, P^\bullet)^G$ where $\mathrm{gr}\, P^\bullet$ is the graded object of $P^\bullet$ for any composition series of $P^\bullet$ in the category $Perv(X^\dagger)$ of perverse sheaves on $X^\dagger$. This gives us a geometric theory of GV invariants which we conjecture to give all the GW invariants $N_g(\beta)$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies heavily on gauge theory. By the Seidel-Thomas twist ([10, Chapter 8]), it suffices to consider only vector bundles on Y. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ be the space of semiconnections on a smooth vector bundle E modulo the gauge group action and let $\mathcal{B}_{si}$ be the open subset of simple points. Let $cs : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the Chern-Simons functional. Let $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{B}_{si}$ be a locally closed complex analytic subspace. We call a finite dimensional complex submanifold V of $\mathcal{B}_{si}$ a *CS chart* if the critical locus of $f = cs|_V$ is $V \cap \mathfrak{X}$ and is an open complex analytic subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$. By [10], at each $x \in X$, we have a CS chart V with $T_xV = T_x\mathfrak{X}$, which we call the Joyce-Song chart (JS chart, for short). Thus we have a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet|_V$ on $V \cap X$. One of the difficulties in gluing the local perverse sheaves $P^\bullet|_V$ is that the dimensions of the CS charts V vary from point to point. In this paper, we show that there are

1. a locally finite open cover $X = \cup_\alpha U_\alpha$;
2. a (continuous) family $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \to U_\alpha$ of CS charts of constant dimension r, each of which contains the JS chart;
3. a homotopy $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta} \to U_{\alpha\beta} \times [0,1]$ from $\mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = \mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}|_{t=0}$ to $\mathcal{V}_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = \mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}|_{t=1}$

where $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. We call these *CS data* with one more ingredient. (See Proposition 3.12.)

From the CS data, we can extract perverse sheaves $P^\bullet_\alpha$ on U_α for all α and gluing isomorpisms $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P^\bullet_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \to P^\bullet_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$. (See Proposition 3.13.) The 2-cocycle obstruction for gluing $\{P^\bullet_\alpha\}$ to a global perverse sheaf is shown to be

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sigma_{\gamma\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\beta\gamma} \circ \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

which coincides with the 2-cocycle obstruction for gluing the determinant line bundles of the tangent bundles of CS charts. Since the perfect obstruction theory $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ for $\mathfrak{X}$ is symmetric, the determinant of the tangent bundle is a square root of det $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$. Therefore the local perverse sheaves $\{P^\bullet_\alpha\}$ glue to a global perverse sheaf if and only if there is a square root of det $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. (See Theorem 3.14.)

When $\mathfrak{X}$ is the moduli scheme of one dimensional stable sheaves on Y, we show that the torsion-free part of $c_1(\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))$ is divisible by 2 by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. More generally, Z. Hua ([9]) proved that it is true for all sheaves. In §8, we simplify his proof and generalize his result to the case of perfect complexes. Therefore possibly after tensoring by a torsion line bundle L, the line bundle det $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ admits a square root. By taking a spectral cover using L, we obtain a finite étale Galois cover $\rho : X^\dagger \to X$ with a cyclic Galois group G and a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$ which is locally the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles of a local CS functional. (See Theorem 3.16.)

The layout of this paper is as follows. In §2, we recall necessary facts about the perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles and their gluing. In §3, we collect the main results of this paper. In §4, we prove that there exists a structure which we call preorientation data on X. In §5, we show that preorientation data induce CS data mentioned above. In §6, we show that CS data induce local perverse sheaves, gluing isomorphisms and the obstruction class for gluing. In §7, we develop a theory of GV invariants. In §8, we discuss the existence of square root of det $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$.

An incomplete version of this paper was posted in the arXiv on October 15, 2012 (1210.3910). Some related results were independently obtained by C. Brav, V. Buss, D. Dupont, D. Joyce and B. Szendroi in [4]. We are grateful to Dominic Joyce for his comments and suggestions. We are also grateful to Zheng Hua for informing us of his paper [9] and to Yan Soibelman for his comments on orientation data (Remark 6.12).

Notations. A complex analytic space is a local ringed space which is covered by open sets, each of which is isomorphic to a ringed space defined by an ideal of homomorphic functions on an analytic open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for some $n > 0$, and whose transition maps preserve the sheaves of holomorphic functions. A complex analytic variety is a reduced complex analytic space. We will denote the variety underlying a complex analytic space $\mathfrak{X}$ by X. We will use smooth functions to mean C^∞ functions. In case the space is singular, with a stratification by smooth strata, smooth functions are continuous functions that are smooth along each stratum. We use analytic functions to mean continuous functions that locally have power series expansions in the real and imaginary parts of coordinate variables. We will work with analytic topology unless otherwise mentioned.

2. Perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles

In this section, we recall necessary facts about perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles. Let X be a complex analytic variety and $D^b_c(X)$ the bounded derived category of constructible sheaves on X over $\mathbb{Q}$. Perverse sheaves, introduced by Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber in [2], are sheaf complexes which behave like sheaves.

Definition 2.1. *An object* $P^\bullet \in D^b_c(X)$ *is called a* perverse sheaf *(with respect to the middle perversity) if*

1. $\dim\{x \in X \mid H^i(\imath_x^* P^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}^i(B_\varepsilon(x); P^\bullet) \neq 0\} \leq -i$ *for all* i*;*
2. $\dim\{x \in X \mid H^i(\imath_x^! P^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}^i(B_\varepsilon(x), B_\varepsilon(x) - \{x\}; P^\bullet) \neq 0\} \leq i$ *for all* i

where $\imath : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ *is the inclusion and* $B_\varepsilon(x)$ *is the open ball of radius* ε *centered at* x *for* ε *small enough.*

Perverse sheaves form an abelian category $Perv(X)$ which is the core of a t-structure ([2, §2]). An example of perverse sheaf is the sheaf of vanishing cycles which is the focus of this paper.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a continuous function on a pseudo-manifold* V*. We define*

$$A^\bullet_f := \phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[-1]) = R\Gamma_{\{\mathrm{Re} f \leq 0\}}\mathbb{Q}|_{f^{-1}(0)}.$$

When V is a complex manifold of dimension d and f is holomorphic, $A^\bullet_f[d]$ is a perverse sheaf on $f^{-1}(0)$. (See [11, Chapter 8].) Let X_f be the critical set ($df = 0$) of f in V. Since $A^\bullet_f[d] = \phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[d-1])$ is zero on the smooth manifold $f^{-1}(0) - X_f$, $A^\bullet_f[d]$ is a perverse sheaf on X_f, called the *perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles* for f. The stalk cohomology of $A^\bullet_f[1]$ at $x \in f^{-1}(0)$ is the reduced cohomology $\tilde{H}^\bullet(M_f)$ of the Milnor fiber

$$M_f = f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta \ll \epsilon \ll 1.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $f, f_0, f_1 : V \to \mathbb{C}$ *be continuous functions on a pseudo-manifold* V*.*
(1) Let Z *be a subset of* $f_0^{-1}(0) \cap f_1^{-1}(0)$*. Suppose* $\Phi : V \to V$ *is a homeomorphism such that* $\Phi|_Z = \mathrm{id}_Z$ *and* $f_1 \circ \Phi = f_0$*. Then* Φ *induces an isomorphism* $\Phi^* : A^\bullet_{f_1}|_Z \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\bullet_{f_0}|_Z$ *in* $D^b(Z)$ *by pulling back.*
(2) Suppose $\Phi_t : V \to V$*,* $t \in [0,1]$*, is a continuous family of homeomorphisms perserving* f*, i.e.* $f \circ \Phi_t = f$ *for all* t*, such that* $\Phi_t|_Z = \mathrm{id}_Z$ *and* $\Phi_0 = \mathrm{id}_V$*. Then the pullback isomorphism* $\Phi_1^* : A^\bullet_f|_Z \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\bullet_f|_Z$ *is the identity morphism.*

Proof. Let $I^\bullet$ be an injective (or flabby) resolution of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $D^b_c(V)$. Then Φ induces a chain map $\Phi^* : \Gamma_{\{\mathrm{Re} f_1 \leq 0\}} I^\bullet|_Z \to \Gamma_{\{\mathrm{Re} f_0 \leq 0\}} I^\bullet|_Z$ with an inverse $(\Phi^{-1})^*$. This chain map induces an isomorphism of $A^\bullet_{f_i}|_Z$ above.

Because Φ_t preserves the set $\{\mathrm{Re} f \leq 0\}$, the isotopy $\{\Phi_t\}$ induces a homotopy from the identity chain map $\Phi_0^* = \mathrm{id}_{A^\bullet_f|_Z}$ to Φ_1^* by realizing $I^\bullet$ for instance by the complex of singular cochains. Since homotopic chain maps are equal in the derived category, we find that the induced isomorphism $\Phi_1^* : A^\bullet_f|_Z \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\bullet_f|_Z$ is indeed the identity morphism. □

Example 2.4. *Let* $q = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i^2$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^r$*. The set* $\{\mathrm{Re}\, q > 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ *is a disk bundle over* $\mathbb{R}^r - \{0\}$ *which is obviously homotopic to* S^{r-1}*. From the distinguished triangle*

$$R\Gamma_{\{\mathrm{Re}\, q \leq 0\}}\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q} \to R\imath_*\imath^*\mathbb{Q} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\imath : \{\mathrm{Re}\, q > 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ *is the inclusion, we find that* $A^\bullet_q[1]$ *is a sheaf complex supported at the origin satisfying* $A^\bullet[1] \cong \mathbb{Q}[-r+1]$*, i.e.*

$$A^\bullet[r] \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$

Suppose $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^r \to \mathbb{C}^r$ *is a homeomorphism such that* $q \circ \Phi = q$*. Since* $A^\bullet[r] \cong \mathbb{Q}$*, the isomorphism* $\Phi^* : A^\bullet_q[r] \to A^\bullet_q[r]$ *is either* 1 *or* -1*. The sign is determined by* *the change in the orientation of the sphere S^{r-1} in the Milnor fiber. Since q is preserved by Φ, $d\Phi|_0 : T_0\mathbb{C}^r \to T_0\mathbb{C}^r$ is an orthogonal linear transformation with respect to q whose determinant is either 1 or -1. It is easy to see that these two sign changes are identical, i.e.*

$$\Phi^* = \det(d\Phi|_0)\cdot \mathrm{id}\,.$$

The following fact about the sheaf $A^\bullet_f$ of vanishing cycles will be useful.

Proposition 2.5. *(Sebastiani-Thom isomorphism)*
(1) Let $g : W \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function on a connected complex manifold W of dimension d and let $q = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i^2$. Let $V = W \times \mathbb{C}^r$ and $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be $f(z,y) = g(z) + q(y)$. Then the summation form of f induces an isomorphism

$$A^\bullet_f[d+r] \cong pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g[d] \otimes pr_2^{-1}A^\bullet_q[r] \cong pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g[d]\otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g[d]$$

of perverse sheaves on the critical set X_f of f.
(2) Let $\Phi : V \to V$ be a biholomorphic map such that $f\circ\Phi = f$ and $\Phi|_W = \mathrm{id}_{W\times\{0\}}$. Then $\Phi^* : A^\bullet_f \to A^\bullet_f$ is $\det(d\Phi|_{W\times\{0\}})\,\mathrm{id}_{A^\bullet_f}$ and $\det(d\Phi|_{W\times\{0\}}) = \pm 1$.

Proof. (1) is a result of D. Massey in [21, §2]; (2) is proved in [4, Theorem 3.1]. For reader's convenience we briefly sketch their proofs. We consider the map $\rho : W\times\mathbb{C}^r \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $(\operatorname{Re} g, \operatorname{Re} q)$. It is easy to see that the critical values of this map $(g,q) : W\times\mathbb{C}^r\to\mathbb{C}^2$ lie in the axis lines $\{(a,b)\,|\,ab=0\}$ and we can deform $\rho^{-1}(\{(a,b)\,|\,a+b>0\})$ to $\rho^{-1}(\{(a,b)\,|\,a>0 \text{ or } b>0\})$. This is sufficient to prove the theorem via the distinguished triangles of vanishing cycles and nearby cycles because $A^\bullet_q[r]\cong\mathbb{Q}$,

$$A^\bullet_f = \phi_f(\mathbb{Q}[-1]) \cong \phi_g(\mathbb{Q}[-1])\otimes\phi_q(\mathbb{Q}[-1]) \cong A^\bullet_g\otimes A^\bullet_q \cong A^\bullet_g[-r].$$

For (2), since $d\Phi|_W$ is identity on T_W and Φ preserves f, $d\Phi|_W$ preserves the quadratic form q and hence is an orthogonal linear transformation on the normal space to W at each point in W. Therefore $\det(d\Phi|_W) = \pm 1$.

By composing with an orthogonal transformation on $\mathbb{C}^r$, we may assume that $\det(d\Phi|_{W\times\{0\}}) = 1$. By (1), we have isomorphisms $\sigma : pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g \cong A^\bullet_f[r]$ and

$$\sigma^{-1}\circ\Phi^*\circ\sigma : pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g \longrightarrow pr_1^{-1}A^\bullet_g.$$

Since $A^\bullet_f$ is supported in $W\times\{0\}$, it suffices to show that the restriction of $\sigma^{-1}\circ\Phi^*\circ\sigma$ to $W\times\{0\}$ is the identity $\mathrm{id} : A^\bullet_g \to A^\bullet_g$. Indeed, by the soft resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_W$ on W by the sheaf complex $C^\bullet$ of geometric chains ([3, Chapter II]), $A^k_g \subset C^k$ can be realized as the sheaf of $2d-k$ dimensional geometric chains ξ which vanish on $\{\operatorname{Re} g > 0\}$. The map σ sends ξ to the join $S * \xi$ of a sphere $S = S^{r-1}$ in the Milnor fiber of q (Example 2.4) and ξ. Since $\Phi|_{W\times\{0\}} = \mathrm{id}_W$, Φ sends $S*\xi$ to $\Phi(S)*\xi$. Since the orientation is preserved, $\Phi(S)$ is homotopic to S and thus $\Phi(S)*\xi$ is mapped to ξ by σ^{-1} up to homotopy. As $\sigma^{-1}\circ\Phi^*\circ\sigma = \mathrm{id}_{pr^{-1}A^\bullet_g}$, we have $\Phi^* = \mathrm{id}_{A^\bullet_f}$ as desired. □

Alternatively, we can define $A^\bullet_f$ using the Grothendieck functors.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map from a pseudo-manifold V of real dimension $2d$. Let $\jmath : \{\operatorname{Re} f \le 0\} \hookrightarrow V$ be the inclusion. Then we have a natural isomorphism $A^\bullet_f \cong \jmath_!\jmath^!\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Let $N = \{\mathrm{Re}\, f \le 0\}$. By [11, Proposition 3.1.12], $\jmath^!\mathbb{Q} \cong \jmath^{-1}R\Gamma_N\mathbb{Q}$ canonically. Since $R\Gamma_N\mathbb{Q}[d]$ is supported on N, by [11, Proposition 2.3.6], we find that $A_f^\bullet = R\Gamma_N\mathbb{Q} = \jmath_!\jmath^{-1}R\Gamma_N\mathbb{Q} \cong \jmath_!\jmath^!\mathbb{Q}$. □

For smooth fibrations, the perverse sheaves of vanising cycles are related as follows.

Lemma 2.7. *Let D and V be pseudo-manifolds and let $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map. Let $pr : D \times V \to V$ be the projection and $\tilde{f} = f \circ pr$. Then $A_{\tilde{f}}^\bullet \cong pr^{-1}A_f^\bullet$.*

Proof. Let $N = \{\mathrm{Re}\, f \le 0\}$ and $\tilde{N} = \{\mathrm{Re}\, \tilde{f} \le 0\} = D \times N$. Let $\jmath$ be the inclusion of N into V and $\tilde{\jmath} = \mathrm{id}_D \times \jmath$. Let $\tilde{pr}$ be the restriction of pr to $\tilde{N}$. Then $A_{\tilde{f}}^\bullet = \tilde{\jmath}_!\tilde{\jmath}^!(\mathbb{Q})$. By [11, Proposition 2.5.11], $pr^{-1} \circ \jmath_! = \tilde{\jmath}_! \circ \tilde{pr}^{-1}$. By [11, Proposition 3.1.9], we have a morphism $\tilde{pr}^{-1}\jmath^!\mathbb{Q}_V \to \tilde{\jmath}^!pr^{-1}\mathbb{Q}_V = \tilde{\jmath}^!\mathbb{Q}_{D\times V}$ which is an isomorphism by comparing the stalks using the Künneth formula since D is locally contractible. We thus have

$$pr^{-1}\jmath_!\jmath^!\mathbb{Q}_V \cong \tilde{\jmath}_!\tilde{pr}^{-1}\jmath^!\mathbb{Q}_V \cong \tilde{\jmath}_!\tilde{\jmath}^!\mathbb{Q}_{D\times V}.$$

Therefore we have a canonical isomorphism $pr^{-1}A_f^\bullet \cong A_{\tilde{f}}^\bullet$. □

It is a folklore that perverse sheaves and isomorphisms glue.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a complex analytic variety with an open covering $\{X_\alpha\}$.*
(1) Suppose that for each α we have $P_\alpha^\bullet \in Perv(X_\alpha)$ and for each pair α, β we have isomorphisms

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P_\alpha^\bullet|_{X_\alpha\cap X_\beta} \xrightarrow{\cong} P_\beta^\bullet|_{X_\alpha\cap X_\beta}$$

satisfying the cocycle condition $\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \circ \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma_{\alpha\gamma}$. Then $\{P_\alpha^\bullet\}$ glue to define a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on X such that $P^\bullet|_{X_\alpha} \cong P_\alpha^\bullet$ and that $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is induced by the identity map of $P^\bullet|_{X_\alpha\cap X_\beta}$.
(2) Suppose $P^\bullet, Q^\bullet \in Perv(X)$ and $\sigma_\alpha : P^\bullet|_{X_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} Q^\bullet|_{X_\alpha}$ such that $\sigma_\alpha|_{X_\alpha\cap X_\beta} = \sigma_\beta|_{X_\alpha\cap X_\beta}$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\sigma : P^\bullet \to Q^\bullet$ such that $\sigma|_{X_\alpha} = \sigma_\alpha$ for all α.

See [4, Theorem 2.5] for precise references for proofs of Proposition 2.8. One way to prove Proposition 2.8 is to use the elementary construction of perverse sheaves by MacPherson and Vilonen.

Theorem 2.9. [19, Theorem 4.5] *Let $S \subset X$ be a closed stratum of complex codimension c. The category $Perv(X)$ is equivalent to the category of objects $(B^\bullet, C) \in Perv(X - S) \times Sh_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$ together with a commutative triangle*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R^{-c-1}\pi_*\kappa_*\kappa^*B^\bullet \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & & R^{-c}\pi_*\gamma_!\gamma^*B^\bullet \\
& C \arrow[ur, "n"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\ker(n)$ *and* $\operatorname{coker}(m)$ *are local systems on S, where $\kappa : K \hookrightarrow L$ and $\gamma : L - K \hookrightarrow L$ are inclusions of the perverse link bundle K and its complement $L - K$ in the link bundle $\pi : L \to S$. The equivalence of categories is explicitly given* *by sending* $P^\bullet \in Perv(X)$ *to* $B^\bullet = P^\bullet|_{X-S}$ *together with the natural morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd} R^{-c-1}\pi_*\kappa_*\kappa^*B^\bullet \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & & R^{-c}\pi_*\gamma_!\gamma^*B^\bullet \\ & R^{-c}\pi_*\varphi_!\varphi^*P^\bullet \arrow[ur, "n"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\varphi : D - K \hookrightarrow D$ *is the inclusion into the normal slice bundle.*

See [19, §4] for precise definitions of K, L and D. Morally the above theorem says that an extension of a perverse sheaf on $X - S$ to X is obtained by adding a sheaf on S. Since sheaves glue, we can glue perverse sheaves stratum by stratum.

Proof of Proposition 2.8. We stratify X by complex manifolds and let $X^{(i)}$ denote the union of strata of codimension $\le i$. On the smooth part $X^{(0)}$, $P^\bullet_\alpha$ are honest sheaves and hence they glue to a sheaf $P^\bullet|_{X^{(0)}}$. For $X^{(1)} = X^{(0)} \cup S$, we find that since $P^\bullet_\alpha$ are isomorphic on intersections $X_\alpha \cap X_\beta$, the sheaves $R^{-c}\pi_*\varphi_!\varphi^*P^\bullet_\alpha$ glue and so do the natural triangles

$$\begin{tikzcd} R^{-2}\pi_*\kappa_*\kappa^*(P^\bullet|_{X^{(0)}}) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & & R^{-1}\pi_*\gamma_!\gamma^*(P^\bullet|_{X^{(0)}}) \\ & R^{-1}\pi_*\varphi_!\varphi^*P^\bullet_\alpha. \arrow[ur, "n"'] & \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

Hence we obtain a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet|_{X^{(1)}} \in Perv(X^{(1)})$. It is obvious that we can continue this way using Theorem 2.9 above until we obtain a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on X such that $P^\bullet|_{X_\alpha} = P^\bullet_\alpha$.

The gluing of isomorphisms is similar. □

Another application of Theorem 2.9 is the following *rigidity property of perverse sheaves.*

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $P^\bullet$ *be a perverse sheaf on an analytic variety* U*. Let* $\pi : T \to U$ *be a continuous map from a topological space* T *with connected fibers and let* T' *be a subspace of* T *such that* $\pi|_{T'}$ *is surjective. Suppose a morphism* $\mu : \pi^{-1}P^\bullet \cong \pi^{-1}P^\bullet$ *satisfies* $\mu|_{T'} = \mathrm{id}_{(\pi^{-1}P^\bullet)|_{T'}}$*. Then* $\mu = \mathrm{id}_{\pi^{-1}P^\bullet}$*.*

Proof. We first prove the simple case: if we let C be a locally constant sheaf over $\mathbb{Q}$ of finite rank on $Z \subset U$ and $\bar\mu : \pi^{-1}C \to \pi^{-1}C$ be a homomorphism such that $\bar\mu|_{T'\cap\pi^{-1}(Z)} = \mathrm{id}$, then $\bar\mu$ is the identity morphism. Indeed, since the issue is local, we may assume that Z is connected and that $C \cong \mathbb{Q}^r$ so that $\bar\mu : \mathbb{Q}^r \to \mathbb{Q}^r$ is given by a continuous map $\pi^{-1}(Z) \to GL(r, \mathbb{Q})$. By connectedness, this obviously is a constant map which is 1 along $T' \cap \pi^{-1}(Z)$. We thus proved the lemma in the sheaf case.

For the general case, we use Theorem 2.9. As in the proof of Proposition 2.8 above, we stratify U and let $U^{(i)}$ be the union of strata of codimension $\le i$. Since $P^\bullet$ is a perverse sheaf, $P^\bullet|_{U^{(0)}}[-\dim U]$ is isomorphic to a locally constant sheaf and hence $\mu|_{U^{(0)}}$ is the identity map. For $U^{(1)} = U^{(0)} \cup S$, using the notation of Theorem 2.9, $C = R^{-1}\pi_*\varphi_!\varphi^*P^\bullet$ is a locally constant sheaf and μ induces a homomorphism $\pi^{-1}C \to \pi^{-1}C$ which is identity on $T' \cap \pi^{-1}(S)$. Therefore μ induces the identity morphism of the pullback of (2.2) by π to itself and hence μ is the identity on $U^{(1)}$. Continuing in this fashion, we obtain Lemma 2.10. □

3. Preorientation data and perverse sheaves

In this section, we collect the main results of this paper. We first introduce the notion of preorientation data which induces a family of CS charts. This will give us a collection of local perverse sheaves and gluing isomorphisms. We identify the cocycle condition for the gluing isomorphisms as the existence of a square root of the determinant bundle of $\mathrm{Ext}^{\bullet}_{\pi}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) = R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the universal bundle over $X\times Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$.

3.1. **Chern-Simons functionals on connection spaces.** In this subsection we briefly recall the necessary gauge theoretic background. More details will be provided in later sections. Our presentation largely follows [10, Chapter 9].

Let Y be a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold over $\mathbb{C}$, with a Hodge metric implicitly chosen. We fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(3,0)$-form Ω on Y. Let E be a smooth complex vector bundle on Y with a smooth hermitian metric. In this paper, a smooth semiconnection is a differential operator $\bar\partial : \Omega^0(E)\to\Omega^{0,1}(E)$ satisfying the $\overline{\partial}$-Leibniz rule. We denote by $\Omega^{0,k}(E)$ the space of smooth $(0,k)$-forms on Y taking values in E. Following the notation in gauge theory, we denote $ad\,E = E^\vee\otimes E$; thus fixing a smooth semiconnection $\overline{\partial}_0$, all other semiconnections can be expressed as $\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{a}\in\Omega^{0,1}(adE)$.

We fix a pair of integers $s\ge 4$ and $\ell>6$, and form the completion $\Omega^{0,k}(adE)_s$ of $\Omega^{0,k}(adE)$ under the Sobolev norm L^ℓ_s. (L^ℓ_s is the sum of L^ℓ-norms of up to s-th partial derivatives.) We say $\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}$ is L^ℓ_s if $\mathfrak{a}$ is L^ℓ_s, assuming $\overline{\partial}_0$ is smooth. We denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the gauge group of L^ℓ_{s+1}-sections of $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ modulo $\mathbb{C}^*$, which is the L^ℓ_{s+1} completion of $C^\infty(\mathrm{Aut}(E))/\mathbb{C}^*$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the space of L^ℓ_s-semiconnections on E. We have isomorphism of affine spaces, after a choice of smooth $\overline{\partial}_0\in\mathcal{A}$, via

$$\overline{\partial}_0+\cdot:\Omega^{0,1}(ad\,E)_s\longrightarrow\mathcal{A},\quad \mathfrak{a}\mapsto\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}. \tag{3.1}$$

The gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ via $g\cdot(\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}) = (g^{-1})^*(\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a})$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{si}$ be the $\mathcal{G}$-invariant open subset of simple semiconnections, i.e. the stabilizer groups are all $\mathbb{C}^*\cdot\mathrm{id}_E$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_{si} = \mathcal{A}_{si}/\mathcal{G}\,\subset\,\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{B}.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_{si}$ is a complex Banach manifold.

An element $\bar\partial\in\mathcal{A}$ is called integrable if the curvature $F^{0,2}_{\bar\partial} := (\bar\partial)^2$ vanishes. If $\bar\partial$ is integrable and $\mathfrak{a}\in\Omega^{0,1}(ad\,E)$, then $\bar\partial+\mathfrak{a}$ is $F^{0,2}_{\bar\partial+\mathfrak{a}} = \bar\partial\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{a}\wedge\mathfrak{a}$. By Sobolev inequality, $F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\cdot}$ is a continuous operator from $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$ to $\Omega^{0,2}(adE)_{s-1}$, analytic in $\mathfrak{a}$. An integrable smooth semiconnection $\overline{\partial}$ defines a holomorphic vector bundle $(E,\overline{\partial})$ on Y.

Picking a (reference) integrable $\bar\partial\in\mathcal{A}$, the holomorphic Chern-Simons functional is defined as

$$CS:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{C},\quad CS(\bar\partial+\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_Y \mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\bar\partial\mathfrak{a})\wedge\mathfrak{a}+\frac{1}{3}\mathfrak{a}\wedge\mathfrak{a}\wedge\mathfrak{a}\right)\wedge\Omega.$$

This is a cubic polynomial in $\mathfrak{a}$ whose quadratic part is

$$CS_2(\bar\partial+\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_Y \mathrm{tr}\big(\frac{1}{2}(\bar\partial\mathfrak{a})\wedge\mathfrak{a}\big)\wedge\Omega.$$

Since the directional derivative of CS at $\overline{\partial}+\mathfrak{a}$ in the direction of $\mathfrak{b}$ is

$$\delta\, CS(\overline{\partial}+\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{b})=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_Y \operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{b}\wedge F^{0,2}_{\bar\partial+\mathfrak{a}})\wedge\Omega,$$

$\delta\, CS(\overline{\partial}+\mathfrak{a})=0$ if and only if $\overline{\partial}+\mathfrak{a}$ is integrable. Thus the complex analytic subspace $\mathcal{A}^{int}_{si}$ of simple integrable smooth semiconnections in $\mathcal{A}_{si}$ is the critical locus (complex analytic subspace) of CS. Let $\mathfrak{V}_{si}=\mathcal{A}^{int}_{si}/\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{B}_{si}$. Since CS is $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant, it descends to

$$cs:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{C}$$

whose critical locus (complex analytic subspace) in $\mathcal{B}_{si}$ is $\mathfrak{V}_{si}$.

3.2. **The universal family over** X**.** Let $\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathfrak{V}_{si}\subset\mathcal{B}$ be an open complex analytic subspace and denote by $X=\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{red}}$ the $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{set}}$ endowed with the reduced scheme structure. We assume that X is quasi-projective and that there is a universal family of holomorphic bundles $\mathcal{E}$ over $X\times Y$ that induces the morphism $X\to\mathcal{B}$. Our convention is that $\mathcal{E}$ is with its holomorphic structure $\overline{\partial}_X$ implicitly understood. For $x\in X$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_x=\mathcal{E}|_{x\times Y}$, the holomorphic bundle associated with $x\in X$. We denote by $\overline{\partial}_x$ the restriction of $\overline{\partial}_X$ to $\mathcal{E}_x$. We use $ad\mathcal{E}_x$ to denote the smooth vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_x^\vee\otimes\mathcal{E}_x$.

We fix a Hermitian metric h on $\mathcal{E}$ that is analytic in X direction (see Subsection 4.3). For $x\in X$, we denote by h_x the restriction of h to $\mathcal{E}_x$. Using h_x, we form $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$, the space of L^ℓ_s $ad\mathcal{E}_x$-valued $(0,k)$-forms. We form the space $\mathcal{A}_x$ of L^ℓ_s semiconnections on $\mathcal{E}_x$; which is isomorphic to $\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$ via $\overline{\partial}_x+\cdot$ (cf. (3.1)). We form the adjoint $\overline{\partial}_x^*$ of $\overline{\partial}_x$ using the hermitian metric h_x.

Since $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_x)=\mathbb{C}^*$, a standard argument in gauge theory shows that the tangent space of $\mathcal{B}$ at x is

$$T_x\mathcal{B}\cong\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s/\operatorname{Im}(\overline{\partial}_x)_s\cong\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s,\quad x\in X,\tag{3.2}$$

where the first isomorphism depends on a choice of smooth $\mathcal{E}_x\cong E$; the second isomorphism is canonical using the Hodge theory of $(\mathcal{E}_x,\overline{\partial}_x,h_x)$. (We use the subscript "s" to indicate that it is the image in $\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$.) For any open subset $U\subset X$, we denote $T_U\mathcal{B}=T\mathcal{B}|_U$. Since $X\subset\mathcal{B}$ is a complex analytic subspace, it is a holomorphic Banach bundle over U.

For $x\in X$, we form the Laplacian

$$\Box_x=\overline{\partial}_x\overline{\partial}_x^*+\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x:\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s\to\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-2},$$

and its truncated eigenspace

$$\Theta_x(\epsilon)=\mathbb{C}\text{-span}\,\{\mathfrak{a}\in\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s\mid\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{a}=0,\ \Box_x\mathfrak{a}=\lambda\mathfrak{a},\ \lambda<\epsilon\}\subset\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s.$$

Note that $T_x\mathfrak{X}\cong\ker(\Box_x)^{0,1}_s\subset\Theta_x(\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon>0$; since $(\mathcal{E}_x,\overline{\partial}_x,h_x)$ are smooth, $\Theta_x(\epsilon)\subset\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)$ (i.e., consisting of smooth forms).

3.3. **Preorientation data.** We recall the quadratic form $cs_{2,x}$ on $T_x\mathcal{B}$, $x\in X$, induced by the CS-function $cs:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{C}$, defined explicitly by

$$cs_{2,x}(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)=\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int\operatorname{tr}\bigl(\mathfrak{a}_1\wedge\overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{a}_2\bigr)\wedge\Omega,\quad \mathfrak{a}_i\in\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s/\ker(\overline{\partial}_x)_s.$$

Since $T_x\mathfrak{X}$ is the null subspace of $cs_{2,x}$, we have the induced non-degenerate quadratic form

$$\mathcal{Q}_x:T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}\times T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}.\tag{3.3}$$

We introduce the notion of orientation bundles and their homotopies. Let r be a positive integer. (The notion of analytic subbundles will be recalled in the next section.)

Definition 3.1. *Let $U \subset X$ be open. A rank r* orientation bundle *on U is a rank r analytic subbundle $\Xi \subset T_U\mathcal{B}$ such that*

1. *there is an assignment $U \ni x \mapsto \epsilon_x \in (0,1)$, continuous in $x \in U$, such that for every $x \in U$, $\Theta_x(\epsilon_x) \subset \Xi_x := \Xi|_x$;*
2. *at each $x \in U$, $Q_x := \mathcal{Q}_x|_{\Xi_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}}$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form.*

We also need a notion of homotopy between orientation bundles.

Definition 3.2. *Let Ξ_a and Ξ_b be two orientation bundles over U. A* homotopy *from Ξ_a to Ξ_b is a family of orientation bundles Ξ_t on U, such that*

1. *the family is analytic in t, and $\Xi_0 = \Xi_a$ and $\Xi_1 = \Xi_b$;*
2. *for all $t \in [0,1]$, Ξ_t satisfy (1) of Definition 3.1 for a single ϵ..*

The following is one of the key ingredients in our construction of perverse sheaves on moduli spaces.

Definition 3.3. *We say X is equipped with rank r* preorientation data *if there are*

1. *a locally finite open cover $X = \cup_\alpha U_\alpha$,*
2. *a rank r orientation bundle Ξ_α on U_α for each α, and*
3. *a homotopy $\Xi_{\alpha\beta}$ from $\Xi_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ to $\Xi_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$ for each $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.*

Since the open cover is locally finite, for each $x \in X$, we can find an open set U_x which is contained in any U_α with x inside. We can further choose $\epsilon_x > 0$ such that $\Xi_\alpha \supset \Theta_x(\epsilon_x)$ whenever $x \in U_\alpha$. To simplify the notation, from now on we will suppress ϵ_x and write Θ_x for the subbundle $\Theta_x(\epsilon_x)$.

In §4, we will prove the following.

Proposition 3.4. *Every quasi-projective $X \subset \mathfrak{B}_{si}$ with universal family admits preorientation data.*

3.4. **Families of CS charts and local trivializations.**

We introduce another key ingredient, called CS charts.

Definition 3.5. *Let f be a holomorphic function on a complex manifold V such that 0 is the only critical value of f. The* reduced critical locus *(also called the critical set) is the common zero set of the partial derivatives of f. The* critical locus *of f is the complex analytic space $\mathfrak{X}_f$ defined by the ideal (df) generated by the partial derivatives of f.*

Definition 3.6. *An r-dimensional* CS chart *for $\mathfrak{X}$ is an r-dimensional complex submanifold V of $\mathcal{A}_{si}$, which embeds holomorphically into $\mathcal{B}_{si}$ by the projection $\mathcal{A}_{si} \to \mathcal{B}_{si}$, such that, letting $\imath : V \to \mathcal{B}$ be the inclusion, the critical locus $\mathfrak{X}_{cs\circ\imath} \subset V$ is an open complex analytic subspace of $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{si}$.*

We say the chart $(V, \imath)$ contains $x \in X$ if $x \in \imath(\mathfrak{X}_{cs\circ\imath})$.

Example 3.7. *By* [10, Theorem 5.5]*, For any $x = \bar\partial_0 \in \mathfrak{B}_{si}$*

$$V = \{\bar\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} = \bar\partial_0 + \mathfrak{a} \mid \bar\partial_0^*\mathfrak{a} = \bar\partial_0^* F^{0,2}_{\bar\partial_0+\mathfrak{a}} = 0, \| \mathfrak{a} \|_s < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{si}$$

is a CS chart of $\mathfrak{X}$ containing x of dimension $\dim T_x\mathcal{X}$*, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. This chart depends on x and the choice of a hermitian metric on E for* *which the adjoint* $\overline{\partial}_0^*$ *depends on. In this paper, we call this chart the Joyce-Song chart at* x*. We remark that when* $\overline{\partial}_0$ *and the hermitian metric are smooth, then all* $\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a} \in V$ *are smooth.*

Definition 3.8. *Let* $\rho : Z \to X$ *be a continuous map from a topological space* Z *to* X*. Let* r *be a positive integer. A* family of r-dimensional CS charts *(for* $\mathfrak{X}$*) is a subspace* $\mathcal{V} \subset Z \times \mathcal{B}$ *that fits in a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{V} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & Z \times \mathcal{B} \arrow[dl, "pr_Z"] \\
Z &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that for each $x \in Z$ *the fiber* $\mathcal{V}_x := \pi^{-1}(x) \subset \mathcal{B}_{si}$ *and is an* r*-dimensional CS chart of* $\mathfrak{X}$ *containing* $\rho(x)$*.*

Given $\mathcal{V} \subset Z \times \mathcal{B}$ a family of CS charts over $\rho : Z \to X$, we define $\Delta(Z) = \{(x, \rho(x)_x) \mid x \in Z\} \subset Z \times \mathcal{V}$, where $\rho(x)_x$ is the unique point in $\mathcal{V}_x$ whose image in $\mathcal{B}$ is $\rho(x)$.

Definition 3.9. *A* local trivialization *of the family* $Z \leftarrow \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow Z \times \mathcal{B}$ *consists of an open* $U_0 \subset Z$*, an open neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *of* $\Delta(U_0) \subset \mathcal{V}_{U_0} := \pi^{-1}(U_0)$*, and a continuous*

$$U_0 \times \mathcal{V}_{U_0} \supset \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{V}_{U_0} \times U_0, \tag{3.4}$$

such that Ψ *commutes with the two tautological projections* $\mathcal{U} \subset U_0 \times \mathcal{V}_{U_0} \to U_0 \times U_0$ *and* $\mathcal{V}_{U_0} \times U_0 \to U_0 \times U_0$*, and that*

1. *let* $U_0 \to U_0 \times U_0$ *be the diagonal, then* $\Psi|_{\mathcal{U} \times_{U_0 \times U_0} U_0} = \mathrm{id}$*;*
2. *for any* $x, y \in U_0$*, letting* $\mathcal{U}_{x,y} = \mathcal{U} \cap (x \times \mathcal{Y}_y)$ *and* $\Psi_{x,y} := \Psi|_{\mathcal{U}_{x,y}} : \mathcal{U}_{x,y} \to \mathcal{V}_x$*, then* $\Psi_{x,y}$ *is biholomorphic onto its image;* $\Psi_{x,y}$ *restricting to* $\mathcal{U}_{x,y} \cap \mathfrak{X}_{f_y}$ *is an open immersion into* $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x} \subset \mathcal{V}_x$*, commuting with the tautological open immersions* $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}, \mathfrak{X}_{f_y} \subset \mathfrak{X}$*.*

We say that $\mathcal{V} \subset Z \times \mathcal{B}$ *admits local trivializations if for any* $x_0 \in Z$ *there is a local trivialization over an open neighborhood* U_0 *of* $x_0 \in Z$*.*

Definition 3.10. *Let* $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a (real) analytic subset defined by the vanishing of finitely many analytic functions. Let* $\mathcal{V} \subset Z \times \mathcal{B}$ *be a family of CS charts over* $\rho : Z \to X$*. A complexification of* $\mathcal{V}$ *consists of a complexification* $Z^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *of* Z *(thus having* $Z^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = Z$*), a holomorphic* $\rho^{\mathbb{C}} : Z^{\mathbb{C}} \to X$ *extending* $\rho : Z \to X$*, and a holomorphic family of CS charts* $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset Z^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{B}$ *over* $Z^{\mathbb{C}}$ *(i.e.* $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *is a complex analytic subspace of* $Z^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{B}$*) such that*

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}} \times_{Z^{\mathbb{C}}} Z = \mathcal{V} \subset Z \times \mathcal{B}.$$

Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset and $\mathcal{V} \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$ be a family of CS charts over U which admits local trivializations. Let $U_0 \subset U$ be an open subset and Ψ in (3.4) a local trivialization of $\mathcal{V}$ over U_0.

Definition 3.11. *We say that the local trivialization* Ψ *is* complexifiable *if for any* $x \in U_0$*, there is an open neighborhood* $x \in O_x \subset U_0$ *such that if we let* $\mathcal{V}_{O_x} \subset O_x \times \mathcal{B}$ *and* $\Psi_{O_x} : \mathcal{U}_{O_x} \to \mathcal{V}_{O_x} \times O_x$*, where* $\mathcal{U}_{O_x} = \mathcal{U} \times_{U \times \mathcal{V}} O_x \times \mathcal{V}_{O_x}$ *and* Ψ_{O_x} *is the pullback of* Ψ*, the following hold:*

1. *the family* $\mathcal{V}_{O_x} \subset O_x \times \mathcal{B}$ *admits a complexification* $\mathcal{V}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} \subset O_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{B}$ *over a complexification* $O_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ *of* O_x*;*

(2) *there is a holomorphic local trivialization* $\Psi_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} : \mathcal{U}_{C^{\mathbb{C}}} \to \mathcal{V}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} \times O_x^{\mathbb{C}}$, *i.e.* $\mathcal{U}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} \subset O_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{V}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}}$ *is open and contains the diagonal* $\Delta(O_x^{\mathbb{C}})$, *such that* $\Psi_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}}$ *is holomorphic,*

$$\mathcal{U}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} \times_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} O_x = \mathcal{U}_{O_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Psi_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}}|_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U}_{O_x} \to \mathcal{V}_{O_x} \times O_x \subset \mathcal{V}_{O_x^{\mathbb{C}}} \times O_x^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

In §5, we will prove the following.

Proposition 3.12. *Let* $X \subset \mathcal{B}_{si}$ *be equipped with preorientation data* $(\cup U_\alpha, \Xi_\alpha, \Xi_{\alpha\beta})$. *Then there are*

(1) *a family of* r*-dimensional CS charts* $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \subset U_\alpha \times \mathcal{B}$ *with complexifiable local trivializatons at all* $x \in U_\alpha$;

(2) *a subfamily* $\mathcal{W}_x$ *of CS charts in* $\mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_x}$, *i.e. a subbundle* $\mathcal{W}_x$ *of* $\mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_x}$ *which admits compatible complexifiable local trivializations*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_x \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_x} \supset \mathcal{U} \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_x} \times U_x \\
U_x \times \mathcal{W}_x|_{U_x} \supset \mathcal{U}' \arrow[r, "\Psi"] \arrow[u, hook] & \mathcal{W}_x|_{U_x} \times U_x \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

for each $x \subset U_x \subset U_\alpha$;

(3) *a family of CS charts* $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}$ *parameterized by* $U_{\alpha\beta} \times [0,1]$ *with* $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\times\{0\}} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$, $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}|_{U_{\alpha\beta}\times\{1\}} = \mathcal{V}_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$, *which has complexifiable local trivializations at all* $(x,t) \in U_{\alpha\beta} \times [0,1]$, *such that* $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}|_{U_x\times[0,1]}$ *contains the subfamily* $\mathcal{W}_x \times [0,1]$ *of CS charts over* $U_x \times [0,1]$.

We call the above $(\mathcal{V}_\alpha, \mathcal{W}_x, \mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta})$ *CS data* for X.

3.5. **Local perverse sheaves and gluing isomorphisms.** Given CS data, we can construct perverse sheaves $P_\alpha^\bullet$ on each U_α and gluing isomorphisms $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} \to P_\beta|_{U_{\alpha\beta}}$.

We will prove the following in §6.

Proposition 3.13. *(1) Let* $\pi : \mathcal{V} \to U$ *be a family of CS charts on* $U \subset X \subset \mathcal{B}_{si}$ *with complexifiable local trivializations at every point* $x \in U$. *Then the perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles for*

$$f_x : \mathcal{V}_x = \pi^{-1}(x) \subset \mathcal{B}_{si} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$$

glue to a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ *on* U, *i.e.* $P^\bullet$ *is isomorphic to* $A^\bullet_{f_x}[r]$ *in a neighborhood of* x.

(2) Let $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ *and* $\mathcal{V}_\beta$ *be two families of CS charts on* U *with complexifiable local trivializations. Let* $P_\alpha^\bullet$ *and* $P_\beta^\bullet$ *be the induced perverse sheaves on* U. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$ *be a family of CS charts on* $U \times [0,1]$ *with complexifiable local trivializations such that* $\mathbf{V}|_{U\times\{0\}} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ *and* $\mathbf{V}|_{U\times\{1\}} = \mathcal{V}_\beta$. *Suppose for each* $x \in U$, *there are an open* $U_x \subset U$ *and a subfamily* $\mathcal{W}$ *of both* $\mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{U_x}$ *and* $\mathcal{V}_\beta|_{U_x}$ *such that* $\mathcal{W} \times [0,1]$ *is a complexifiable subfamily of CS charts in* $\mathbf{V}|_{U_x\times[0,1]}$. *Then there is an isomorphism* $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P_\alpha^\bullet \cong P_\beta^\bullet$ *of perverse sheaves.*

(3) If there are three families $\mathcal{V}_\alpha, \mathcal{V}_\beta, \mathcal{V}_\gamma$ *with homotopies among them as in (2), then the isomorphisms* $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \sigma_{\beta\gamma}, \sigma_{\gamma\alpha}$ *satisfy*

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \sigma_{\gamma\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\beta\gamma} \circ \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \pm\,\mathrm{id}\,.$$

In fact, the isomorphism in (2) is obtained by gluing pullback isomorphisms via biholomorphic maps $\chi_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x} \to \mathcal{V}_{\beta,x}$ at each x. The sign ± 1 in (3) is the determinant of the composition

$$T_x\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x} \overset{d\chi_{\alpha\beta}}{\longrightarrow} T_x\mathcal{V}_{\beta,x} \overset{d\chi_{\beta\gamma}}{\longrightarrow} T_x\mathcal{V}_{\gamma,x} \overset{d\chi_{\gamma\alpha}}{\longrightarrow} T_x\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\cdot,x}$ is the fiber of $\mathcal{V}_{\cdot}$ over x. By Serre duality, $\det T\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}$ is a square root of $\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ and hence the 2-cocycle $\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ defines an obstruction class in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}_2)$ for the existence of a square root of $\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$. Combining Propositions 3.4, 3.12 and 3.13, we thus obtain the following.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $X \subset \mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{V}_{si}$ be quasi-projective and equipped with a universal family $\mathcal{E}$. Then there is a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on X which is locally a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles if and only if there is a square root of the line bundle* $\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ *in* $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$.

It is obvious that the theorem holds for any étale cover of X.

3.6. **Divisibility of the determinant line bundle.** In this subsection, we show that for moduli spaces $\mathfrak{X}$ of stable sheaves on Y, and for the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X \times Y$, $\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ has a square root possibly after taking a Galois étale cover $X^\dagger \to X$. By Theorem 3.14, there is a globally defined perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$ which is locally the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles.

To begin with, using the exponential sequence

$$H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X^*) \longrightarrow H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X),$$

we see that

$$\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) = \det R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) \tag{3.5}$$

admits a square if and only if its first Chern class in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is even. We determine the torsion-free part of the first Chern class of (3.5) using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem:

$$\operatorname{ch}(\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) = \pi_*\big(\operatorname{ch}(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))\operatorname{td}(Y)\big).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over X, $\alpha_i := c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in A^*(X\times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$. Let $r = \operatorname{rank}\mathcal{E}$. Then one has

$$\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = r + \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1^2 - 2\alpha_2) + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_1^3 - 3\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3) + \delta_4 + \cdots,$$

where $\delta_4 \in A^4(X\times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$, and $\cdots$ are elements in $A^{>4}(X\times Y)_\mathbb{Q}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ch}(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) &= \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E})\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \\ &= r^2 + ((r-1)\alpha_1^2 - 2r\alpha_2) + (-\frac{\alpha_1^4}{12} + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1\alpha_3 + 2r\delta_4) + \cdots.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since Y is a Calabi-Yau three-fold, we have

$$\operatorname{td}(Y) = 1 + \frac{1}{12}c_2(T_Y).$$

Thus by GRR, the torsion-free part of the first Chern class of (3.5) is

$$\begin{aligned}c_1\big(\det \operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})\big) &= \big[\operatorname{ch}(\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))\big]_1 \\ &= \pi_*\big(-\frac{\alpha_1^4}{12} + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1\alpha_3 + 2r\delta_4 + ((r-1)\alpha_1^2 - 2r\alpha_2)\frac{1}{12}c_2(T_Y)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $[\cdot]_1$ denotes the degree one part in $A^1X_\mathbb{Q}$.

We now suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ is the moduli of one dimensional sheaves. Then $r=0$ and $\alpha_1=0$. Hence (3.7) reduces to

$$c_1\big(\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})\big)=\pi_*(\alpha_2^2).$$

We let $[\alpha_2]$ be the torsion-free part of the image of α_2 in $H^4(X\times Y,\mathbb{Z})$. We apply the Künneth formula [27]. By the canonical isomorphism (modulo torsions)

$$H^4(X\times Y,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{tor}\cong [H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes H^*(Y,\mathbb{Z})]^4/\mathrm{tor}.$$

We write $[\alpha_2]=\sum_{i=0}^4\sum_j a_{i,j}\otimes b_{4-i,j}$, where $b_{4-i,j}\in H^{4-i}(Y,\mathbb{Z})$, etc. Then

$$[\alpha_2]^2\equiv\sum_{i=0}^4\sum_j a_{i,j}\otimes b_{i-4,j}\wedge a_{i,j}\otimes b_{i-4,j}\equiv\sum_{i,j}a_{2i,j}^2\otimes b_{4-2i,j}^2 \mod 2,$$

whose part in $\cdot\otimes[Y]^\vee$ is trivial. This proves that the torsion-free part of (3.7) is even. More generally, by a similar calculation, it can be shown that the torsion-free part of (3.7) is even for any perfect complex $\mathcal{E}$ on $X\times Y$. See Theorem 8.1. Therefore we have the following.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a fine moduli scheme of simple sheaves on Y. There is a torsion line bundle L on $X=\mathfrak{X}_{red}$ with $L^{\otimes k}\cong\mathcal{O}_X$ for some $k>0$ such that the pullback of* $\det\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ *by the cyclic étale Galois cover*

$$\rho: X^\dagger=\{s\in L\,|\,s^k=1\}\to X$$

admits a square root $\mathcal{L}$ on $X^\dagger$.

Proof. Since the torsion-free part of $c_1\left(\det\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})\right)$ is even, there is a torsion line bundle L on X such that $L\otimes\det\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ is torsion-free and admits a square root. Note that the pullback of L to $X^\dagger$ is trivial. □

For a moduli space $\mathfrak{X}$ of stable sheaves with universal bundle, we can apply the Seidel-Thomas twists ([10]) so that we can identify $\mathfrak{X}$ as a complex analytic subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{si}$. By Theorem 3.14, we have the following.

Theorem 3.16. *If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a fine moduli scheme of stable sheaves on Y, there exist a cyclic étale Galois cover $X^\dagger\to X$ and a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$ which is locally the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles.*

4. Existence of preorientation data

In this section we prove Proposition 3.4. We construct orientation bundles, their homotopies, and their complexifications.

4.1. **The semiconnection space.** We continue to use the convention introduced at the beginning of Subsection 3.2. Thus, $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal family of locally free sheaves on $X\times Y$ and $(\mathcal{E}_x,\overline{\partial}_x)$ is the restriction $\mathcal{E}|_{x\times Y}$, and we use $ad\mathcal{E}_x$ to denote the smooth vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_x^\vee\otimes\mathcal{E}_x$.

Since X is quasi-projective, X has a stratification according to the singularity types of points in X. We fix such a stratification. We say a continuous function (resp. a family) on an open $U\subset X$ is smooth if its restriction to each stratum is smooth.

We now choose a smooth Hermitian metric h on $\mathcal{E}$. Since X is quasi-projective, by replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by its twist via a sufficiently negative line bundle from X, for a sufficiently ample $\mathcal{H}$ on Y, we can make $\mathcal{E}^\vee\otimes p_Y^*\mathcal{H}$ generated by global sections, where $p_Y : X\times Y \to Y$ is the projection. Thus for an integer N, we have a surjective homomorphism of vector bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}^{\oplus N} \to \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes p_Y^*\mathcal{H}$; dualizing and untwisting $\mathcal{H}^\vee$, we obtain a subvector bundle homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \subset p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$. We then endow $\mathcal{H}$ a smooth hermitian metric; endow $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$ the direct sum metric, and endow $p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$ the pullback metric. We define h to be the induced hermitian metric on $\mathcal{E}$ via the holomorphic subbundle embedding $\mathcal{E} \subset p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$. For $x \in X$, we denote by h_x the restriction of h to $\mathcal{E}_x$.

For the integers s and ℓ chosen before, we denote the L_s^ℓ-completion of $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)$ by $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$. We form the formal adjoint $\overline{\partial}_x^*$ of $\overline{\partial}_x$ using the hermitian metric h_x. Then $\overline{\partial}_x$ and $\overline{\partial}_x^*$ extend to differential operators

$$\overline{\partial}_x \ (resp.\ \overline{\partial}_x^*) : \Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s+1-k} \to \Omega^{0,k+1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-k} \quad (resp.\ \Omega^{0,k-1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-k}).$$

We use $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x)^{0,k}_{s+1-k}$ to denote the kernel of $\overline{\partial}_x$ in $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s+1-k}$; likewise, $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,k}_{s+1-k}$. We form the Laplacian

$$\Box_x = \overline{\partial}_x\overline{\partial}_x^* + \overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x : \Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s+1-k} \to \Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-1-k}.$$

We denote by $\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,k}$ the set of harmonic forms (the kernel of $\Box_x$) in $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)$.[1]

It will be convenient to fix a local trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$. Given $x_0 \in X$, we realize an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset X$ of x_0 as $U_0 = X_{f_0}$, where $(V_0, f_0) := (V_{x_0}, f_{x_0})$ is the JS chart. We fix a smooth isomorphism $E \simeq \mathcal{E}_{x_0}$. Since V_0 is a complex manifold, by shrinking $x_0 \in V_0$ if necessary, we assume that V_0 is biholomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for some n. We let $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ be the induced coordinate variables on V_0. By abuse of notation, we also use z to denote a general element in V_0.

Let $\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ be the family of semiconnections on E of the chart (V_0, f_0). Because $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ satisfies the system in Example 3.7, $\overline{\partial}_z\mathfrak{a}_0(z) = 0$. Let $E_{V_0} = V_0 \times E$, as a vector bundle over $V_0 \times Y$. Let $\overline{\partial}_z$ be the $\overline{\partial}$-operator along the z direction of the product bundle $E_{V_0} = V_0 \times E$. Then $\overline{\partial}_{V_0} := \overline{\partial}_0 + \overline{\partial}_z + \mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ is a semiconnection on E_{V_0}. It is known that (cf. [10, Chapter 9], [23]) $\mathfrak{X}_{f_0} = (F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)} = 0)$, which is the same as $((\overline{\partial}_{V_0})^2 = 0)$ since $\overline{\partial}_z\mathfrak{a}_0(z) = 0$.

Using $U_0 = X_{f_0}$, the restriction $(E_{V_0}, \overline{\partial}_{V_0})|_{U_0}$ is a holomorphic bundle over $U_0 \times Y$. By construction, it is biholomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{U_0} := \mathcal{E}|_{U_0}$. Let

$$\zeta : (E_{U_0}, \overline{\partial}_{V_0}|_{U_0}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{U_0} \tag{4.1}$$

be a biholomorphism. Since $\mathcal{E}_{x_0}$ is simple, we can assume that ζ extends the smooth isomorphism $E \simeq \mathcal{E}_{x_0}$ we begun with. In the following, we call ζ a framing of $\mathcal{E}$ over U_0.

Via this framing, we identify $\Omega^{0,k}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$ with $\Omega^{0,k}(adE)_s$; the connection form $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ locally has convergent power series expansion in z everywhere over V_0, with coefficients smooth adE-valued $(0,1)$-forms.

Another application of this local framing is that it gives local trivializations of $T_X\mathcal{B}$. Using the holomorphic family of semiconnections $\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z)$, we embeds V_0 into $\mathcal{B}$ as a complex submanifold. (Since (V_0, f_0) is a CS chart, $V_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_{si}$.) Using $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, we have an induced surjective homomorphism of holomorphic Banach bundles

---

[1] If we don't put any subscript at $\Omega^{0,\cdot}(\cdot)$, it means the set of smooth sections.

$$V_0 \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s \longrightarrow T_{V_0}\mathcal{B}. \tag{4.2}$$

By shrinking $0 \in V_0$ if necessary, the induced $V_0 \times \ker(\overline{\partial}_0^*)^{0,1}_s \to T_{V_0}\mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism of holomorphic Banach bundles. Since for $x \in X$, $\overline{\partial}_x^* : \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \to \Omega^0(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-1}/\mathbb{C}$ is surjective, $\ker(\overline{\partial}^*)^{0,1}_X := \coprod_{x\in X} \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_s$ is a smooth Banach bundle. The same holds for $\ker(\overline{\partial})^{0,2}_X \subset \Omega^{0,2}_X(ad\mathcal{E})_{s-1}$.

4.2. **Truncated eigenspaces.** We form the (partially) truncated eigenspace

$$\Theta_x(\epsilon) := \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\,\{\mathfrak{a} \in \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \mid \overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{a} = 0,\ \Box_x\mathfrak{a} = \lambda\mathfrak{a},\ \lambda < \epsilon\} \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}$$

and its $(0,2)$-analogue

$$\Theta_x(\epsilon)' := \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\,\{\mathfrak{a} \in \Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \mid \overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{a} = 0,\ \Box_x\mathfrak{a} = \lambda\mathfrak{a},\ \lambda < \epsilon\} \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_x)^{0,2}.$$

Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset. We form

$$\Theta_U(\epsilon) = \coprod_{x\in U} \Theta_x(\epsilon) \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}^*)^{0,1}_X|_U \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta'_U(\epsilon) = \coprod_{x\in U} \Theta'_x(\epsilon) \subset \ker(\overline{\partial})^{0,2}_X|_U.$$

Recall that each $\Box_x$ has discrete non-negative spectrum. We say an $\epsilon > 0$ separates eigenvalues of $\Box_x$ for $x \in U$ if for any $x \in U$, ϵ is not an eigenvalue of $\Box_x$.

Using that all $\mathcal{E}_x$ in X are simple, we have the following vanishing result.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a continuous function $X \ni x \mapsto \epsilon_x \in (0,1)$ such that for any $x \in X$, $\Box_x$ has no eigenforms in $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x)^{0,1}_s$ and $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,2}_{s-1}$ of eigenvalues $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon_x)$.*

Proof. For any $x_0 \in X$, we pick a connected open $x_0 \in U_0 \subset X$ so that the closure $\bar{U}_0 \subset X$ is compact. We show that we can find $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that the first statement holds for $x \in U_0$ and ϵ_x replaced by ϵ_0.

Suppose not. Then we can find a sequence $x_n \in U_0$, $\alpha_n \in \ker(\overline{\partial}_{x_n})^{0,1}$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ such that $\Box_{x_n}\alpha_n = \lambda_n\alpha_n$ and $\lambda_n \to 0$. Using the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators and Hodge theory, and that $\overline{\partial}_{x_n}\alpha_n = 0$, we conclude that $\alpha_n = \overline{\partial}_{x_n}\beta_n$ for a $\beta_n \in \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^0_s$. Since $\Box_{x_n}\alpha_n = \lambda_n\alpha_n$, $\overline{\partial}_{x_n}\big(\overline{\partial}_{x_n}^*\overline{\partial}_{x_n}\beta_n - \lambda_n\beta_n\big) = 0$. Further, by subtracting a constant multiple of id_E, we can assume $\int_Y \operatorname{tr}\beta_n *1 = 0$ for all n.

Since $\bar{U}_0$ is compact, by passing through a subsequence we can assume $x_n \to x \in \bar{U}_0$. Then after normalizing the L^ℓ norm of β_n to be one, using elliptic estimate we conclude that (after passing through a subsequence) β_n converges to $\beta \neq 0 \in \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^0_s$ and satisfying $\overline{\partial}_x\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x\beta = 0$ and $\int_Y \operatorname{tr}\beta *1 = 0$. From $\overline{\partial}_x\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x\beta = 0$, we conclude $\overline{\partial}_x\beta = 0$. Because $\mathcal{E}_x$ is simple (by assumption on $\mathfrak{X}$), $\int \operatorname{tr}\beta *1 = 0$ forces $\beta = 0$, contradicting to $\|\beta\|_{L^\ell} = 1$.

Since X is quasi-projective, we can cover X by a countable number of open subsets each of which has compact closure in X. Applying the proof to each of these open set, we conclude that a continuous $\epsilon(\cdot)$ exists making the first statement of the lemma hold. The proof of the part on $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,2}_{s-1}$ is parallel, using that for every $x \in X$, because Y is a Calabi-Yau threefold, $\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,3} \cong \Box_x^{-1}(0)^0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. This proves the lemma. $\Box$

We will fix this function $\epsilon(\cdot)$ in the remainder of this section.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $U \subset X$ be connected and open, and let $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon_x)$ separate the eigenvalues of $\square_x$ for all $x \in U$. Then $\Theta_U(\epsilon_0)$ (resp. $\Theta'_U(\epsilon_0)$) is a smooth subbundle of $\ker(\overline{\partial}^*)^{0,1}_X|_U$ (resp. $\ker(\overline{\partial})^{0,2}_X|_U$).*

Proof. Since ϵ_0 is not an eigenvalue of $\square_x$ for all $x \in U$, and since U is connected, the family

$$\tilde{\Theta}_x(\epsilon_0) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathfrak{a} \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE_x)_s \mid \square_x \mathfrak{a} = \lambda \mathfrak{a},\ \lambda < \epsilon_0\}, \quad x \in U,$$

have identical dimensions and form a smooth (finite rank) vector bundle over U (cf. [12]). Because $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon_x$ for all $x \in U$, $\tilde{\Theta}_x(\epsilon_0) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\partial}_x) = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\Theta}_x(\epsilon_0) = \Theta_x(\epsilon_0)$ for all $x \in U$. This proves that $\Theta_U(\epsilon_0)$ is a smooth bundle over U and thus a smooth subbundle of $\ker(\overline{\partial}^*)^{0,1}_X|_U$. The proof for the other is identical. □

4.3. **Complexification.** We recall the notion of analytic families. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open subset, with $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ its induced coordinate variables, and $\operatorname{Re} z = (\operatorname{Re} z_1, \cdots, \operatorname{Re} z_n)$ and same for $\operatorname{Im} z$. An analytic function on D is a smooth $\mathbb{C}$-valued function on D that locally has convergent power series expansions in $\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{an}_D$ the sheaf of analytic functions on D. An analytic section of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_D$ is a section of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_D} \mathcal{O}^{an}_D$.

Definition 4.3. *Let $F \to U$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a reduced complex analytic subspace U. We say a continuous section $s \in C^0(U, F)$ is analytic if at every $x \in U$, there is an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of $x \in U$, a holomorphic trivialization $F|_{U_0} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_{U_0}$ and a closed holomorphic embedding $U_0 \subset D$ into a smooth complex manifold D such that $s|_{U_0}$ is the restriction of an analytic section of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_D$. We say a rank l complex subbundle $F' \subset F$ is analytic if locally F' is spanned by l analytic sections of F. In case F is a holomorphic Banach vector bundle over U, the same definition holds with $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_{U_0}$ replaced by the local holomorphic Banach bundle trivializations $F|_{U_0} \cong B \times U_0$, for Banach spaces B.*

The purpose of this subsection is to prove

Proposition 4.4. *Let the situation be as in Lemma 4.2. Then the bundle $\Theta_U(\epsilon)$ is an analytic subbundles of $T_U\mathcal{B}$.*

We will prove the proposition after we construct a complexification of the family $\overline{\partial}^*_x$. Since this is a local study, for any $x_0 \in U$, we pick an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ and fix an isomorphism ζ (cf. (4.1)) derived from realizing $U_0 = X_{f_0}$ for the JS chart $(V_0, f_0) = (V_{x_0}, f_{x_0})$.

Let $D \subset V_0$ be an open neighborhood of $0 \in V_0$. As in the discussion leading to (4.1), we denote by $\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ the family of semiconnections on $E_{V_0} = E \times V_0$ over $V_0 \times Y$. The connection form $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ is a $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)$-valued holomorphic function on D, with $\mathfrak{a}_0(0) = 0$.

Writing $z_k = u_k + iv_k$, we can view D as an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is with the coordinate variable $(u_1, \cdots, u_n, v_1, \cdots, v_n)$. By allowing u_k and v_k to take complex values, we embed $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, thus embed $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ as a (totally real) analytic subset. We call an open $D^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ a complexification of D if $D^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R}^{2n} = D$. We use w to denote the complex coordinate variables of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$.

Lemma 4.5. *We can find a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ such that the function $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ extends to a holomorphic $\mathfrak{a}_0(\cdot)_{\mathbb{C}} : D^{\mathbb{C}} \to \Omega^{0,1}(adE)$.*

Proof. The extension is standard. Since $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ is derived from the JS chart, it is holomorphic in z. Thus for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in D$, $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ equals to a convergent power series in $(z_k - \alpha_k)$ in a small disk centered at α with coefficients in $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)$. Letting $\alpha_k = a_k + ib_k$, $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and writing $z_k = u_k + iv_k$, the power series becomes a power series in $(u_k - a_k)$ and $(v_k - b_k)$.

Because u_k and v_k are complex coordinate variables of $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \supset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \supset D$, $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ extends to a holomorphic $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)$-valued function in a small neighborhood of α in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Because the extension of a function defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ to a germ of holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is unique, the various extensions of $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ using power series expansions at various $\alpha \in D$ give a single extension of $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ to a holomorphic $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}}$ on some complexifications $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$. □

For $D \subset V_0$ a neighborhood of $0 = x_0 \in V_0$, we denote

$$O_0 := D \cap X_{f_0} = D \cap X.$$

For $x \in O_0$, we write $\overline{\partial}_x^* = \overline{\partial}_0^* + \mathfrak{a}_0(x)^\dagger$. The extension problem for $\mathfrak{a}_0(x)^\dagger$ is more delicate because it is not defined away from O_0.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $y \in Y$, there is an open neighborhood $S \subset Y$ of $y \in Y$ and an open neighborhood $D \subset V_0$ of $0 \in V_0$ so that the hermitian metric $h|_{O_0 \times S}$ extends to an L^ℓ_{s+2} hermitian metric on $E_{D\times S} := E_D|_{D\times S}$, analytic in $z \in D$.*

Proof. Let $S \subset Y$ be an open neighborhood of y so that S is biholomorphic to the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^3$, and that $\mathcal{E}|_{O_0\times S} \cong \mathcal{O}_{O_0\times S}^{\oplus r}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$.

We let k_S be the hermitian norm of 1 in $\mathcal{O}_S \cong \mathcal{H}|_S$ of the hermitian metric of $\mathcal{H}$ fixed earlier. Then k_S is a smooth positive function on S. We let $s_1, \cdots, s_r$ be the standard basis of $\mathcal{E}|_{O_0\times S} \cong \mathcal{O}_{O_0\times S}^{\oplus r}$. Because $\mathcal{E} \subset p_Y^* \mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}$ is a subvector bundle over $X \times Y$, using $\mathcal{H}|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, the image of s_k in $p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}|_{O_0\times S}$ has the presentation $s_k = (s_{k,1}, \cdots, s_{k,N})$, where $s_{k,j} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{O_0\times S})$. Then the hermitian metric form of h on $\mathcal{E}|_{O_0\times S}$ in the basis $s_1, \cdots, s_r$ takes the form

$$h(s_k, s_l) = k_S \cdot \sum_j s_{k,j}\overline{s_{l,j}}. \tag{4.3}$$

To extend this expression over $D \times S$, we will modify the semiconnection $\overline{\partial}_{D\times S} := \overline{\partial}_0 + \overline{\partial}_z + \mathfrak{a}_0|_{D\times S}$ to an integrable semiconnection $\overline{\partial}'_{D\times S}$ and extend $s_1, \cdots, s_r$ to holomorphic sections of $(E_{D\times S}, \overline{\partial}'_{D\times S})$.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_D$ be the maximal ideal generated by $z_1, \cdots, z_n$, and let $I \subset \mathcal{O}_D$ be the ideal sheaf of $D \cap \mathfrak{X} \subset D$. Then $F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0} \equiv 0 \mod I$. We construct $\overline{\partial}'_{D\times S}$ by power series expansion. We let $s' = s+2$, and set $\mathfrak{b}_0(z) = 0$. Suppose we have found $\mathfrak{b}_k(z) \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE|_S)_{s'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}_0 \mathfrak{b}_k(z) \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE|_S)_{s'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I \quad \text{and} \quad F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)+\mathfrak{b}_k(z)} \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{m}^k \cap I, \tag{4.4}$$

where $F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)+\mathfrak{b}_k(z)} := (\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z) + \mathfrak{b}_k(z))^2|_{D\times S}$. Then by the Bianchi identity, using $\mathfrak{a}_0(0) = \mathfrak{b}_k(0) = 0$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_0 F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)+\mathfrak{b}_k(z)} \equiv (\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z) + \mathfrak{b}_k(z)) F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)+\mathfrak{b}_k(z)} \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \cap I. \tag{4.5}$$

We let $\phi_\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^k \cap I$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_k$, be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $(\mathfrak{m}^k \cap I)/(\mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \cap I)$; we write

$$F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0+\mathfrak{a}_0(z)+\mathfrak{b}_k(z)} \equiv \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_k} A_\alpha \phi_\alpha \mod \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \cap I.$$

Because $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ is from the family on the JS chart, $\mathfrak{a}_0(z) \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I$ (i.e. is smooth). Thus using (4.4) and (4.5), we conclude that $A_\alpha \in \Omega^{0,2}(adE|_S)_{s'}$, and $\overline{\partial}_0 A_\alpha = 0$. Since S is biholomorphic to the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^3$, it is strictly pseudoconvex with smooth boundary. Applying a result of solutions to the $\overline{\partial}$-equation with L^ℓ_s estimate (cf. [13, Theorem 6.11]), there is a constant C depending only on S such that for each $\alpha \in \Lambda_k$, we can find $B_\alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE|_S)_{s'}$ such that

$$\overline{\partial}_0 B_\alpha = A_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \| B_\alpha \|_{L^2_{s'}(S)} \le C \, \| A_\alpha \|_{L^2_{s'}(S)} . \tag{4.6}$$

We define $\delta_k(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_k} B_\alpha \phi_\alpha$, and let $\mathfrak{b}_{k+1}(z) = \mathfrak{b}_k(z) + \delta_k(z)$. Then (4.4) holds with k replaced by $k+1$.

We consider the infinite sum $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_k(z)$. Using the estimate (4.6), and the Morrey's inequality $\| u \|_{C^{0,1-6/\ell}(S)} \le C' \, \| u \|_{L^\ell_1(S)}$ for the domain S, a standard power series convergence argument (cf. [14, Section 5.3(c)]) shows that possibly after shrinking $0 \in D$ and $y \in S$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_k|_{D\times S}$ converges to a $\mathfrak{b}(z) \in \Omega^{0,1}(adE|_S)_{s'} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I$. Then the semiconnection

$$\overline{\partial}'_{D\times S} := \overline{\partial}_0 + \overline{\partial}_z + \mathfrak{a}_0(z) + \mathfrak{b}(z)$$

on $E_{D\times S}$ is integrable and is the desired modification.

We now extend the metric. Possibly after shrinking $0 \in D$ and $y \in S$, we can assume that the subbundle homomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_{O_0\times S} \to p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}|_{O_0\times S}$ extends to a subbundle homomorphism

$$g : (E_{D\times S}, \overline{\partial}'_{D\times S}) \longrightarrow p_Y^*\mathcal{H}^{\oplus N}|_{D\times S};$$

the sections $s_1, \cdots, s_r$ extend to holomorphic sections $\tilde{s}_1, \cdots, \tilde{s}_r$ of $(E_{D\times S}, \overline{\partial}'_{D\times S})$ that span the bundle $E_{D\times S}$. Using $\mathcal{H}|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, and writing $g(\tilde{s}_k) = (\tilde{s}_{k,1}, \cdots, \tilde{s}_{k,N})$, we define

$$h_S(\tilde{s}_k, \tilde{s}_l) := k_S \cdot \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{s}_{k,j}\overline{\tilde{s}_{l,j}}, \tag{4.7}$$

which defines a hermitian metric h_S of $E_{D\times S}$, extending the metric (4.3).

It remains to express the metric h_S in a basis constant along D. We let $e_k = s_k|_{0\times S}$; $e_1, \cdots, e_r$ form a smooth basis of $E|_S$. We let $\tilde{e}_k$ be the pullback of e_k via the tautological projection $E_{D\times S} \to E|_S$. Under this basis, $\mathfrak{a}_0(z) + \mathfrak{b}(z)$ becomes an $r \times r$-matrix with entries $\Omega^{0,1}(adE|_S)_{s'}$-valued holomorphic functions over D. Let c_{kj} be functions so that $\tilde{s}_k = \sum_j c_{kj}\tilde{e}_j$. Because $\tilde{s}_k$ are $\overline{\partial}'_{D\times S}$ holomorphic, using $\overline{\partial}_z \tilde{e}_k = \overline{\partial}_0 \tilde{e}_k = 0$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_z c_{kj} + (\mathfrak{a}_0(z) + \mathfrak{b}(z))_{ki} c_{ij} + \overline{\partial}_0 c_{kj} = 0.$$

Since the only the term $\overline{\partial}_z c_{kj}$ takes value in $(0,1)$-forms of D, (others take value in $(0,1)$-forms of S,) we have $\overline{\partial}_z c_{kj} = 0$. Therefore, c_{kj} are holomorphic in z. This proves that the hermitian metric form of h_S under the basis $\tilde{e}_1, \cdots, \tilde{e}_r$ is real analytic in $(\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z)$. Finally, we add that $\tilde{s}_i$ and $\tilde{e}_j$ are $L^\ell_{s'}$, thus c_{kj} lie in $L^\ell_{s'} = L^\ell_{s+2}$. This proves that the metric h_S is L^ℓ_{s+2}. □

Corollary 4.7. *There is an open neighborhood $0 \in D \subset V_0$ and an L^ℓ_{s+2} hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_D$ on E_D such that $\tilde{h}_D$ is analytic in $z \in D$ and extends $h|_{O_0\times Y}$.*

Proof. By the pervious Lemma, for any $y \in Y$, we can find an open neighborhood $D \times S \subset V_0 \times Y$ of $(0, y) \in V_0 \times Y$ and a hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_S$ on $E_{D\times S}$ that extends $h|_{O_0\times S}$, and is analytic in $z \in D$. Because Y is compact, we can cover Y by finitely many such opens S_a, $a = 1, \cdots, l$, paired with $0 \in D_a \subset V_0$. Let $D_0 = \cap D_a$. Then $0 \in D_0 \subset V_0$ is open and $\tilde{h}_{S_a}$ are defined over $D_0 \times S_a$.

We then pick a smooth partition of unity $\sum_{a=1}^{l} \chi_a = 1$ with $\chi_a : Y \to [0, 1]$ such that the closure $\overline{(\chi_a > 0)}$ lies in S_a. Then $\tilde{h}_D = \sum_{a=1}^{l} \chi_a \cdot \tilde{h}_{S_a}$ is an L^ℓ_{s+2} hermitian metric on E_{D_0} that is analytic in $z \in D_0$, and extends $h|_{O_x\times Y}$. ☐

Lemma 4.8. *Let the hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_D$ on E_D be given by Corollary 4.7, and let $\overline{\partial}^*_z = \overline{\partial}^*_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z)^\dagger$, $z \in D$, be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_z$ using the hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_z := \tilde{h}_D|_{z\times Y}$. Then we can find a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ such that the function $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)^\dagger$ extends to a holomorphic $\mathfrak{a}_0(\cdot)^\dagger_{\mathbb{C}} : D^{\mathbb{C}} \to \Omega^0(adE \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T^{0,1}_Y)_s$.*

Proof. Using the explicit dependence of $\overline{\partial}^*_z := (\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(z))^*$ on the metric $\tilde{h}_z$, we see immediately that $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)^\dagger := \overline{\partial}^*_z - \overline{\partial}^*_0 \in \Omega^0(adE \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T^{0,1}_Y)_s$ is analytic in $(\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z)$. Following the proof of Lemma 4.5, there is a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)^\dagger$ extends to $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)^\dagger_{\mathbb{C}}$, defined over $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ and holomorphic in $w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}$. Here we have used that $\tilde{h}_D$ is L^ℓ_{s+2} to ensure that $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)^\dagger_{\mathbb{C}}$ are L^ℓ_s. ☐

In the remainder of this section, we fix a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ so that both $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)^\dagger$ extend holomorphically to $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)^\dagger_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $D^{\mathbb{C}}$. We define

$$O_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \big(F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0\big)_{\mathrm{red}} \subset D^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{4.8}$$

For $w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}$, we define $\overline{\partial}^*_w = \overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(w)^\dagger_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Corollary 4.9. *We have $(\overline{\partial}^*_w)^2|_{O_0^{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$.*

Proof. Via the holomorphic map $\eta : D^{\mathbb{C}} \to V_0$, $w = (u_1, \cdots, u_n, v_1 \cdots, v_n) \mapsto z = (u_1 + iv_1, \cdots, u_n + iv_n)$, we see that the pullback $\mathfrak{a}_0(\eta(w))$ is a holomorphic extension of $\mathfrak{a}_0(z)$. Thus by the uniqueness of holomorphic extension, we have $\mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}_0(\eta(w))$. Thus $O_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \eta^{-1}(O_0)$. In particular, every irreducible component $A \subset O_0$ has its complexification $A_{\mathbb{C}} = \eta(A)$, and vice versa (cf. [24, Proposition 5.3]).

Since $(\overline{\partial}^*_w)^2$ is holomorphic and vanishes along O_0, by studying its vanishing near a general point of any irreducible component A of O_0, and noticing that $O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ is with the reduced analytic subspace structure, we conclude that $(\overline{\partial}^*_w)^2|_{O_0^{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$. ☐

We now complexify $\Theta_D(\epsilon)$ using the span of generalized eigenvectors of the "Laplacian" of $\overline{\partial}_w$. We define

$$\Box_w = \overline{\partial}_w \overline{\partial}^*_w + \overline{\partial}^*_w \overline{\partial}_w : \Omega^{0,j}(adE)_s \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,j}(adE)_{s-2}, \quad w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}.$$

This is a family of second order elliptic operators, holomorphic in $w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}$, whose symbols are identical to that of $\Box_{x_0}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let the notation be as before. Suppose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ separates eigenvalues of $\overline{\partial}_z$ and $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in O_0$. Then we can choose $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ such that $\Theta_{O_0}(\epsilon_0) \subset O_0 \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$ extends to a holomorphic subbundle $\Theta_{O_0^{\mathbb{C}}}(\epsilon_0) \subset O_0^{\mathbb{C}} \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$.*

Proof. We extend $\Theta_D(\epsilon_0)$ to $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ using the generalized eigenforms of $\Box_w$. Since $\Box_w$ is holomorphic in w, and since $1+\Box_z$, $z\in D$, are invertible, by [12, page 365] after shrinking $D^{\mathbb{C}}\supset D$ if necessary, the family

$$(1+\Box_w)^{-1}:\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s\longrightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s,\quad w\in D^{\mathbb{C}},$$

is a holomorphic family of bounded operators.

We now extend $\Theta_D(\epsilon_0)$. First, note that λ is a spectrum of $\Box_z$ if and only if $(1+\lambda)^{-1}$ is a spectrum of $(1+\Box_z)^{-1}$, and they have identical associated spaces of generalized eigenforms. Since $\Box_z$, $z\in D$, has discrete spectrum (eigenvalues) and ϵ is not its eigenvalue, for any $x\in D$, we can pick a small open neighborhood $D_x\subset D$ of $x\in D$ and a sufficiently small δ, $\epsilon_0\gg\delta>0$, so that no eigenvalues of $(1+\Box_z)^{-1}$ for $z\in D_x$ lie in $\big||\lambda|-(1+\epsilon_0)^{-1}\big|<\delta$. Then by the continuity of the spectrum, we can find an open $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x\subset D^{\mathbb{C}}$, $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x\cap D=D_x$, such that no $(1+\Box_w)^{-1}$, $w\in D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$, contains spectrum in the region $\big||\lambda|-(1+\epsilon_0)^{-1}\big|<\delta/2$. Applying [12, Theorem VII-1.7], over $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$ we have decompositions $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s=E_{1,w}\oplus E_{2,w}$ such that $E_{1,w}$ and $E_{2,w}$ are holomorphic in w, invariant under $(1+\Box_w)^{-1}$, and $T_{i,w}:=(1+\Box_w)^{-1}|_{E_{i,w}}:E_{i,w}\to E_{i,w}$ has its spectrum in $|\lambda|<(1+\epsilon_0)^{-1}$ for $i=1$ and in $|\lambda|>(1+\epsilon_0)^{-1}$ for $i=2$.

For us, the key property is that $E_{1,w}=\Theta_w(\epsilon_0)$ when $w\in D_x$. For $w\in D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$, we define $\Theta_w(\epsilon_0)=E_{1,w}$. Then $\Theta_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x}(\epsilon_0):=\coprod_{w\in D^{\mathbb{C}}_x}\Theta_w(\epsilon_0)$ extends $\Theta_D(\epsilon_0)$ holomorphically to $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$. By covering D by open subsets like D_x, and using that the holomorphic extensions of $\Theta_D(\epsilon_0)$ are unique, when they exist, we conclude that for a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}}\supset D$,

$$\Theta_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}(\epsilon_0):=\coprod_{w\in D^{\mathbb{C}}}\Theta_w(\epsilon_0)\subset D^{\mathbb{C}}\times\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$$

extends $\Theta_D(\epsilon_0)$ and is a holomorphic bundle over $D^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Corollary 4.11. *$\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon_0)$ is an analytic subbundle of $T_{U_0}\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Applying the surjective homomorphism (4.2), and using the complexification constructed, the conclusion follows. □

4.4. **The existence of orientation bundles.** We prove Proposition 3.4. We begin with a rephrasing of the non-degeneracy condition under $cs_{2,x}$. We define a pairing

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_x:\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s\times\Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-1}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C},\quad x\in X,\tag{4.9}$$

via $(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)_x=\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int\operatorname{tr}(\mathfrak{a}_1\wedge\mathfrak{a}_2)\wedge\Omega$. It relates to the quadratic form $cs_{2,x}$ via

$$cs_{2,x}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})=(\mathfrak{a},\bar\partial_x\mathfrak{b})_x,\quad \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in T_x\mathcal{B}\cong\ker(\bar\partial_x^*)^{0,1}_s.\tag{4.10}$$

Given a subspace $W\subset T_x\mathcal{B}\cong\ker(\bar\partial_x^*)^{0,1}_s$ that contains $T_x\mathfrak{X}\cong\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$, we define its companion spaces by

$$W'=\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,2}\oplus\bar\partial_x(W)\quad\text{and}\quad W'':=\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}\oplus\Box_x(W).\tag{4.11}$$

Recall that Q_x is the descent of $cs_{2,x}$ to $T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $W\subset T_x\mathcal{B}$ be a subspace containing $T_x\mathfrak{X}$, and let W' be its companion space. Then $Q_x|_{W/T_x\mathfrak{X}}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the restricted pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_x:W\times W'\to\mathbb{C}$ is a perfect pairing.*

Proof. Since Y is a Calabi-Yau threefold, by Serre duality, the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_x$ restricted to $\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}\times\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,2}$ is perfect. Let $e_1,\cdots,e_l\in W$ be so that $\overline{\partial}_x e_1,\cdots,\overline{\partial}_x e_l$ form a basis of $\overline{\partial}_x(W)$. By Hodge theory, $e_1,\cdots,e_l$ and $\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$ span W. By (4.10), and that $\Box_s^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\partial}_x)$ under $(\cdot,\cdot)_x$, Q_x is non-degenerate on $W/T_x\mathfrak{X}=W/\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$ if and only if $(e_i,\overline{\partial}_x e_j)_x$ form an invertible $l\times l$ matrix, which is equivalent to that $(\cdot,\cdot)_x$ on $W\times W'$ is perfect. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 4.13. *Let $x\in X$ and $r\ge d_x=\dim T_x\mathfrak{X}$ be an integer. Then we can find an open neighborhood $U\subset X$ of x such that there exists a rank r orientation bundle over U.*

Proof. We first recall an easy fact. Let q be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $0<l\le n$ be an integer, and let $Gr(l,\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the Grassmannian of l dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We introduce

$$Gr(l,\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ=\{[S]\in Gr(l,\mathbb{C}^n)\mid q|_S \text{ is non-degenerate}\}. \tag{4.12}$$

Since q is non-degenerate, it is direct to check that $Gr(l,\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ$ is the complement of a divisor in $Gr(l,\mathbb{C}^n)$, and thus is connected and smooth.

We now prove the lemma. We first treat the case $r=d_x$. Since $\Box_x$ has non-negative discrete eigenvalues, there is an $\epsilon>0$ so that it has no eigenvalues in $(0,2\epsilon)$. By Corollary 4.11, over an open neighborhood $U\subset X$ of x, $\Theta_U(\epsilon)$ is an analytic subbundle of $T_U\mathcal{B}$. To show that it is an orientation bundle, we only need to verify that for any $y\in U$, Q_y restricting to $\Theta_y(\epsilon)/\Box_y^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$ is non-degenerate. By Lemma 4.12, this is equivalent to that

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_y:\Theta_y(\epsilon)\times\Theta_y(\epsilon)'\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$$

is perfect. Because it is perfect at $y=x$, and because being perfect is an open condition, possibly after shrinking $x\in U$ if necessary, it is perfect for every $y\in U$. This proves the case $r=d_x$.

In case $l=r-d_x>0$, applying the discussion at the beginning of this proof, we find an l-dimensional subspace $W\subset T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}$ so that $Q_x|_W$ is non-degenerate. We let Ξ_x be the preimage of W under the quotient map $T_x\mathcal{B}\to T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}$. To complete the proof, we extend Ξ_x to a neighborhood of $x\in X$ and show that it is an orientation bundle.

We pick an open neighborhood $U\subset X$ of $x\in X$ such that the isomorphism ζ in (4.1) has been chosen; we pick a basis of W, say $u_1,\cdots,u_l\in\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1}/\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}=\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1}$. We then extend u_k to be the constant section of $U\times\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$ and let $\tilde{u}_k$ be its image sections in $T_U\mathcal{B}$. It is a holomorphic extension of u_k. By shrinking $x\in U$ if necessary, $\Theta_U(\epsilon)$ and the sections $\tilde{u}_1,\cdots,\tilde{u}_l$ span a subbundle Ξ of $T_U\mathcal{B}$. Because $\Theta_U(\epsilon)$ is an analytic subbundle of $U\times\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$, and because $\tilde{s}_k$ are holomorphic, we see that Ξ is an analytic subbundle of $T_U\mathcal{B}$ and contains $\Theta_U(\epsilon)$.

It remains to check that for any $y\in U$, Q_y restricted to $\Xi_y/T_y\mathfrak{X}$ is non-degenerate. By the previous lemma, this is equivalent to the fact that the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_y:\Xi_y\times\Xi_y'\to\mathbb{C}$ is perfect, where Ξ_y' is the companion space of Ξ_y (cf. (4.11)). Because this pairing is perfect when $y=x$, by shrinking $x\in U$ if necessary, we can make it perfect for all $y\in U$. Therefore, Ξ is an orientation bundle over U. □

Lemma 4.14. *Suppose Ξ_α and Ξ_β are two rank r orientation bundles over an open U. Then for any $x \in U$, there is an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of x such that there is a homotopy from $\Xi_\alpha|_{U_0}$ to $\Xi_\beta|_{U_0}$.*

Proof. We begin with an easy fact. For any finite dimensional subspace $W_0 \subset \mathcal{W} := T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}$, there is a finite dimensional subspace $W \subset \mathcal{W}$, containing W_0, such that $Q_x|_W$ is non-degenerate. Indeed, let $N \subset W_0$ be the null-subspace of $Q_x|_{W_0}$. Since Q_x is non-degenerate, we can find a subspace $M \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $W_0 \cap M = 0$ and $Q_x : N \times M \to \mathbb{C}$ is perfect. Then the space $W = W_0 \oplus M \subset \mathcal{W}$ is the desired subspace.

We now construct the desired homotopy. We first find a finite dimensional subspace $W \subset \mathcal{W}$ so that it contains both $\Xi_\alpha|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}$ and $\Xi_\beta|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}$, and Q_x is non-degenerate on W. Let $l = r - d_x$. We form the Grassmannian $Gr(l, W)$ and its Zariski open subset $Gr(l, W)^\circ$ as in (4.12) (with Q_x in place of q). Then both $[\Xi_\alpha|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}]$ and $[\Xi_\beta|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}]$ are in $Gr(l, W)^\circ$. Because $Gr(l, W)^\circ$ is a smooth connected quasi-projective variety, we can find an analytic arc $[S_t] \in Gr(l, W)^\circ$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $S_0 = \Xi_\alpha|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}$ and $S_1 = \Xi_\beta|_x/T_x\mathfrak{X}$. We let $\Xi_{t,x}$ be the preimage of S_t under the quotient homomorphism

$$\pi_x : T_x\mathcal{B} \to T_x\mathcal{B}/T_x\mathfrak{X}.$$

Then $[S_t]$ form an analytic family of subspaces in $T_x\mathcal{B}$, interpolating between $\Xi_\alpha|_x$ and $\Xi_\beta|_x$.

We extend this to an analytic family of orientation bundles in a neighborhood of $x \in U$. As before, we realize an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of $x \in U$ as $U_0 = X_{f_0}$, $x = 0 \in V_0$, for the JS chart (V_0, f_0). Then we have the isomorphism of holomorphic Banach bundles $T_{U_0}\mathcal{B} \cong U_0 \times \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1}$. By choosing U_0 sufficiently small, we can find an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon) \subset \Xi_\alpha|_{U_0}$, $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon) \subset \Xi_\beta|_{U_0}$, and $\Theta_x(\epsilon) = \Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,1}$.

Next, for $i = \alpha$ and β, we find l analytic sections $s_1^i, \cdots s_l^i$ of $T_{U_0}\mathcal{B}$ such that $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon)$ and $s_1^i, \cdots s_l^i$ span $\Xi_i|_{U_0}$. Because $s_k^\alpha(x)$ and $s_k^\beta(x)$ all lie in $\pi_x^{-1}(W)$, we can find arcs $\xi_k(t) \in \pi_x^{-1}(W)$, analytic in $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\xi_k(0) = s_k^\alpha(x)$ and $\xi_k(1) = s_k^\beta(x)$, and

$$\Xi_{t,x} = \Theta_x(\epsilon) \oplus \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\big(\xi_1(t), \cdots \xi_l(t)\big).$$

Using the isomorphism $T_{U_0}\mathcal{B} \cong U_0 \times \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1}$, we can view $\xi_k(t)$ as analytic arcs in $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1} \subset \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$. We then define

$$s_k^t(y) = \xi_k(t) + (1-t)(s_k^\alpha(y) - s_k^\alpha(x)) + t(s_k^\beta(y) - s_k^\beta(x)).$$

Clearly, they are analytic in t, and $s_k^0(y) = s_k^\alpha(y)$ and $s_k^1(y) = s_k^\beta(y)$ for all $y \in U_0$. Therefore, by shrinking $x \in U_0$ if necessary, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, the sections $s_1^t(y), \cdots s_l^t(y)$ and $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon)$ span a rank r analytic subbundle $\Xi_t^{\mathrm{pre}} \subset U_0 \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$. Because the arcs $\xi_k(t)$ are analytic in t, the family Ξ_t^{pre} is analytic in t. Finally, because $[S_t]$ all lie in $Gr(l, W)^\circ$, by shrinking $x \in U_0$ if necessary,

$$\Xi_t := \text{image of } \Xi_t^{\mathrm{pre}} \text{ under } U_0 \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s \to T_{U_0}\mathcal{B}$$

form an analytic family of orientation bundles providing the desired homotopy between $\Xi_\alpha|_{U_0}$ and $\Xi_\beta|_{U_0}$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.4. We first pick a locally finite cover U_α so that each U_α has an orientation bundle Ξ_α. For each $x \in X$, we pick an open neighborhood U_x of $x \in X$ so that (1) $U_x \subset U_\alpha$ whenever $x \in U_\alpha$, and that (2) for every pair α, β with

$x \in U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, we have a homotopy from $\Xi_\alpha|_{U_x}$ to $\Xi_\beta|_{U_x}$. Then we can pick a locally finite refinement of the covering as follows: For each $x \in X$, we fix any $\alpha(x)$ such that $U_x \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$. Since X is quasi-projective, we have a metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ on X induced from projective space. By shrinking U_x if necessary, we may assume U_x is the ball $B(x, 2\epsilon_x)$ of radius $2\epsilon_x > 0$ centered at x. Let $O_x = B(x, \epsilon_x)$ and $\Xi_x = \Xi_{\alpha(x)}|_{O_x}$. Then $\{O_x\}$ is an open cover of X and Ξ_x is an orientation bundle on O_x. Suppose that $O_x \cap O_y \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\epsilon_x \le \epsilon_y$. Then $O_x \subset B(y, 2\epsilon_y) = U_y \subset U_{\alpha(y)}$. Also we have $x \in O_x \subset U_x \subset U_{\alpha(x)}$. Hence $O_x \subset U_{\alpha(x)} \cap U_{\alpha(y)}$ and thus we have a homotopy from $\Xi_x|_{O_x\cap O_y}$ to $\Xi_y|_{O_x \cap O_y}$ as desired. □

5. CS DATA FROM PREORIENTATION DATA

In this section we prove Proposition 3.12. We construct CS charts from orientation bundles, their local trivializations, and complexifications.

5.1. Constructing families of CS charts.

Let Ξ be an orientated bundle on U. We generalize Joyce-Song's construction in [10] to form a Ξ-aligned family of CS charts.

Given Ξ, for any $x \in U$, we view $\Xi_x \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_s$ and denote its companion space $\Xi''_x \subset \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)$ be as defined in (4.11) with W replaced by Ξ_x. Using condition (1) of Definition 3.1, one sees that $\Xi'' := \coprod_{x\in U} \Xi''_x$ is an analytic subbundle of $\Omega^{0,1}_X(ad\mathcal{E})_{s-2}|_U$.

We define the quotient homomorphism of Banach bundles

$$P : \Omega^{0,1}_X(ad\mathcal{E})_{s-2}|_U \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}_X(ad\mathcal{E})_{s-2}|_U/\Xi'', \tag{5.1}$$

whose restriction to $x \in U$ is denoted by $P_x : \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-2} \to \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-2}/\Xi''_x$. For $x \in U$, we form the elliptic operator

$$\mathbf{L}_x : \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-2}/\Xi''_x, \quad \mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = P_x\big(\Box_x \mathfrak{a} + \overline{\partial}_x^*(\mathfrak{a}\wedge\mathfrak{a})\big). \tag{5.2}$$

For a continuous $\varepsilon(\cdot) : U \to (0,1)$ to be specified shortly, we define

$$V_x = \{\mathfrak{a} \in \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \mid \mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = 0,\ \|\mathfrak{a}\|_s < \varepsilon(x)\}. \tag{5.3}$$

(Here $\|\cdot\|_s$ is defined using h_x.) Letting $\Pi_x : \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \to \mathcal{B}$ be the composite of the tautological isomorphism $\overline{\partial}_x + \cdot : \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s \cong \mathcal{A}_x$ (cf. (3.1)) with the tautological projection $\mathcal{A}_x \to \mathcal{B}$, we define

$$\mathcal{V}_x = \Pi_x(V_x). \tag{5.4}$$

We comment that $\mathcal{V}_x$ only depends on $(\Xi_x, h_x, \varepsilon(x))$.

Let $f_x : V_x \to \mathbb{C}$ (or $f_x : \mathcal{V}_x \to \mathbb{C}$) be the composite of $V_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $cs : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $U \subset X$ be open and Ξ a rank r orientation bundle on U. Then there is a continuous $\varepsilon(\cdot) : U \to (0,1)$ such that the family $\mathcal{V}_x$, $x \in U$, constructed via (5.3) using $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is a smooth family of complex manifolds of dimension r, and such that all (V_x, f_x) are CS charts of $\mathfrak{X}$.*

Proof. We relate V_x to the JS charts by first showing that $\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ if and only if

$$\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{a} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_x \circ \overline{\partial}_x^* F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a}} = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Indeed, it is immediate that (5.5) implies $\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. For the other direction, suppose $\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. Since $\Xi''_x \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_{s-2}$, applying $\overline{\partial}_x^*$ to $\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$, we obtain

$\overline{\partial}_x\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{a}=0$, which forces $\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{a}=0$. Having this, we obtain $P_x\circ\overline{\partial}_x^*F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a}}=0$. This proves the equivalence.

By direct calculation, the linearization of $\mathbf{L}_x$ at $\mathfrak{a}=0$ is

$$\delta\mathbf{L}_x=P_x\circ\Box_x:\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s\longrightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-2}/\Xi''_x,$$

which is surjective with kernel Ξ_x. Since the operators $\mathbf{L}_x$ depend smoothly on $x\in U$, applying the implicit function theorem, for a continuous $\varepsilon(\cdot):U_0\to(0,1)$ (taking sufficiently small values), the solution spaces V_x, $x\in U$, form a family of manifolds of real dimensions $2r$. Since the operators $\mathbf{L}_x$ are holomorphic in $\mathfrak{a}$, each solution space V_x is a complex submanifold of $\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$ and their images in $\mathcal{B}$ lie in $\mathcal{B}_{si}$. Finally, because the family $\Box_x$ is smooth in $x\in U$, the family V_x is a smooth family of complex manifolds. Using local isomorphism ζ in (4.1), we see that the family $\mathcal{V}_x$, $x\in U$, form a smooth family of complex submanifolds of $\mathcal{B}_{si}$. This proves the first part of the Proposition.

For the second part, we first show that by choosing $\varepsilon(x)$ small enough, $\mathcal{V}_x\cap\mathfrak{X}$ contains an open complex analytic subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$ containing x. At individual $x\in U$, this follows from that each V_x contains (an open neighborhood of x in) the JS chart (V_x^{JS},f_x^{js}). However, to prove that we can choose $\varepsilon(x)$ continuously in x, we argue directly.

As $(\mathcal{E}_x,\overline{\partial}_x)$ are simple, the tautological $\Pi_x:\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_s\to\mathcal{B}$ is biholomorphic near $\Pi_x(0)=x$. We let

$$\mathfrak{F}_x:\Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s\to\Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-1},\quad \mathfrak{F}_x(\mathfrak{a})=F^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a}},$$

be the curvature section. Then $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_s\cap(\mathfrak{F}_x=0)$ contains an open complex analytic subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$ containing x (cf. [10, Chapter 9], [23]). Because $\ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)^{0,1}_s\cap(\mathfrak{F}_x=0)$ is contained in $(\mathbf{L}_x=0)$, $\mathcal{V}_x\cap\mathfrak{X}$ contains an open neighborhood of $x\in\mathfrak{X}$.

We now prove that for $\varepsilon(x)$ small, $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}=\Pi_x^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_x\cap\mathfrak{X})$. We follow the proof of [10, Prop. 9.12]. Let $x\in U$. We define a subbundle

$$R_x:=\{(\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})\mid P_x\circ\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{b}=\overline{\partial}_x^*(\overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{b}\wedge\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{a}\wedge\mathfrak{b})=0\}\subset V_x\times\Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s.$$

Applying the implicit function theorem, because the two equations in the bracket are holomorphic in $\mathfrak{a}$, for $\varepsilon(x)$ small enough, R_x is a holomorphic subbundle of $V_x\times\Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$ over V_x. Then the Bianchi identity coupled with the equivalence relations (5.5) ensures that the restriction of the curvature section to V_x, namely $\mathfrak{F}_x|_{V_x}$, is a section of R_x. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ locally near $\overline{\partial}_x$ is defined by the vanishing of $\mathfrak{F}_x$, we conclude

$$\Pi_x^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_x\cap\mathfrak{X})=V_x\cap(\mathfrak{F}_x|_{V_x}=0).\tag{5.6}$$

It remains to show that $(\mathfrak{F}_x|_{V_x}=0)=(df_x=0)$. We define a bundle map

$$\varphi_x:R_x\to T^\vee V_x,\quad(\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})\mapsto(\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a},\alpha_{\mathfrak{b}}),$$

where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{b}}\in T^\vee_{\overline{\partial}_x+\mathfrak{a}}V_x$ is $\alpha_{\mathfrak{b}}(\cdot)=\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int\mathrm{tr}(\cdot\wedge\mathfrak{b})\wedge\Omega$. Clearly, φ_x is holomorphic and $\varphi_x\circ\mathfrak{F}_x|_{V_x}=df_x$. We show that by choosing $\varepsilon(x)$ small, we can make φ_x an isomorphism of vector bundles over V_x. We first claim that restricting to x we have

$$R_x|_x=\{\mathfrak{b}\in\Omega^{0,2}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_{s-1}\mid P_x\circ\overline{\partial}_x^*\mathfrak{b}=\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{b}=0\}=\overline{\partial}_x(\Xi_x)\oplus\Box_x^{-1}(0)^{0,2}.\tag{5.7}$$

Indeed the first identity follows from the definition of R_x. We prove the second identity. For any $\mathfrak{b}\in R_x|_x$, since $\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{b}=0$, we have $\overline{\partial}_x\mathfrak{b}=0$. Thus we can write

$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_0 + \overline{\partial}_x \mathfrak{c}$ with $\Box_x \mathfrak{b}_0 = 0$. Then $P_x \circ \overline{\partial}_x^* \mathfrak{b} = 0$ implies that we may take $\mathfrak{c} \in \Xi_x$. This proves (5.7).

Applying Lemma 4.12, we know that the pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_x$ (cf. (4.9)) restricted to $T_x V_x \times R_x|_x$ is perfect and thus $\varphi_x|_x$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, by choosing $\varepsilon(x)$ sufficiently small, φ_x is an isomorphism over V_x and thus (V_x, f_x) is a CS chart of $\mathfrak{X}$. Note that the choice of $\varepsilon(x)$ is to make sure that φ_x are isomorphisms over V_x. Since this relies on the openness argument, we can choose $\varepsilon(\cdot) : U \to (0,1)$ continuously to ensure this. This proves the proposition. □

The family of CS charts are canonical.

Lemma 5.2. *Let Ξ_a and Ξ_b be two orientation bundles over U. Suppose $\Xi_a \subset \Xi_b$ is a vector subbundle. Let $\varepsilon(\cdot) : U \to (0,1)$ be the size function that produces a family of CS charts $\mathcal{V}(\Xi_b) \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$ using Ξ_b. Then the same $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ produces a family of CS charts $\mathcal{V}(\Xi_a) \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{V}(\Xi_a) \subset \mathcal{V}(\Xi_b) \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. This follows from the construction because the only data needed to construct V_x are Ξ_x and $\varepsilon(x)$. □

5.2. **Local trivializations.** In this subsection, we prove

Proposition 5.3. *The family $\mathcal{V} \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$ constructed in Proposition 5.1 is locally trivial everywhere.*

The study is local. For any $x_0 \in U$, we pick an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of $x_0 \in U$ so that $U_0 = X_{f_0}$ for the JS chart (V_0, f_0), coupled with the isomorphism ζ in (4.1). Using the induced $\mathcal{E}_x \cong E$ for $x \in U_0$, the CS charts V_x become complex submanifolds of $\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$. We define

$$V_{U_0} = \coprod_{x \in U_0} x \times V_x \subset U_0 \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s. \tag{5.8}$$

By shrinking $x_0 \in U_0$ if necessary, we assume that U_0 lies in $X_{f_x} \subset X$ for all $x \in U_0$.

To keep the notation transparent, for $x, y \in U_0$, we denote by $y_x \in X_{f_x} \subset V_x$ the point whose image in X is y, i.e. the point in X_{f_x} associated to $y \in U_0$. We denote by $\overline{\partial}_x + \mathfrak{a}_x(y_x)$ the connection form of $y_x \in V_x$. Because $U_0 \subset X_{f_y}$, for any $x, y, z \in U_0$, as $(E, \overline{\partial}_x + \mathfrak{a}_x(z_x)) \cong (E, \overline{\partial}_y + \mathfrak{a}_y(z_y))$, there is a unique $g \in \mathcal{G}$ so that

$$\overline{\partial}_y + \mathfrak{a}_y(z_y) = g\big(\overline{\partial}_x + \mathfrak{a}_x(z_x)\big) = \overline{\partial}_x - \overline{\partial}_x g \cdot g^{-1} + g\mathfrak{a}_x(z_x)g^{-1}. \tag{5.9}$$

As before, for open $\mathcal{U} \subset U_0 \times V_{U_0}$ and $x, y \in U_0$, we denote $\mathcal{U}_{x,y} := \mathcal{U} \cap (x \times V_y)$, viewed as a subset of V_y. We denote $\Delta(U_0) = \{(x, x_x) \mid x \in U_0\} \subset U_0 \times V_{U_0}$.

Lemma 5.4. *We can find an open $\mathcal{U} \subset U_0 \times V_{U_0}$ containing $\Delta(U_0) \subset U_0 \times V_{U_0}$ and a smooth $g : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that the following hold:*

1. *for any $x, y \in U_0$, $g_{x,y} := g|_{\mathcal{U}_{x,y}} : \mathcal{U}_{x,y} \to \mathcal{G}$ is holomorphic and $g_{x,x}(\cdot) = 1$;*
2. *for $x, y \in U_0$, $(x, z) \in \mathcal{U}_{x,y}$, and letting $\mathfrak{a}(x,y,z) = g_{x,y}(z)(\overline{\partial}_y + \mathfrak{a}_y(z)) - \overline{\partial}_x$, we have $\overline{\partial}_x^* \mathfrak{a}(x,y,z) = 0$, and $\mathfrak{a}(x,x,x_x) = 0$,*

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$, and for $x, y \in U_0$ and $z \in V_y$, we form

$$\mathfrak{c}_{x,y,z}(\alpha) := \alpha(\overline{\partial}_y + \mathfrak{a}_y(z)) - \overline{\partial}_x,$$

and define

$$\mathbf{R}_{x,y,z}(\cdot) = \overline{\partial}_x^* \mathfrak{c}_{x,y,z}(\cdot) : \mathcal{G}_{s+1} \longrightarrow \Omega^0(adE)_{s-1}/\mathbb{C}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{R}_{x,x,z}(1) = 0$.

We calculate the linearization of the operator $\mathbf{R}_{x,y,z}(\cdot)$ at (x,x,x_x) and $\alpha=1$:

$$\delta\mathbf{R}_{x,x,x_x}|_{\alpha=1}=-\overline{\partial}_x^*\overline{\partial}_x:\Omega^0(adE)_{s+1}/\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\Omega^0(adE)_{s-1}/\mathbb{C}.$$

Because $(E,\overline{\partial}_x)$ are simple, $\delta\mathbf{R}_{x,x,x_x}|_{\alpha=1}$ are isomorphisms. Thus by the implicit function theorem, for an open $\mathcal{U}\subset U_0\times V_{U_0}$ containing the diagonal $\Delta(U_0)\subset U_0\times V_{U_0}$, we can find a unique smooth

$$g_{\cdot,\cdot}(\cdot):\mathcal{U}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}$$

such that $\mathbf{R}_{x,y,z}(g_{x,y}(z))=0$, and $g_{x,x}(z)=1$. Because the equation $\mathbf{R}_{x,y,z}(\alpha)$ is holomorphic in z and α, $g_{x,y}(z)$ is holomorphic in $z\in\mathcal{U}_{x,y}\subset V_y$. Thus both (1) and (2) of the lemma are satisfied. □

We remark that by the uniqueness of the solution $g_{x,y}(z)$, by an extension of (5.9) (to the non-reduced case), we have $\mathfrak{a}(x,y,\cdot)|_{\mathcal{U}_{x,y}\cap\mathfrak{X}_{f_y}}=\mathfrak{a}_y(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{U}_{x,y}\cap\mathfrak{X}_{f_y}}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.3. We take the family $g_{x,y}(z)$ defined on $\mathcal{U}\subset U_0\times V_{U_0}$ constructed in the previous Lemma. To find a local trivialization $\Psi:\mathcal{U}\to V_{U_0}\times U_0$, we solve $\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z)$ satisfying the system

$$\mathbf{L}_x\big(\mathfrak{a}(x,y,z)+\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z)\big)=0,\quad(\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z),\nu)_x=0,\quad\forall\nu\in\Xi'_x,\tag{5.10}$$

where $\mathbf{L}_x$ is defined in (5.2). By the remark at the end of the previous proof, we see that restricting to $\mathcal{U}_{x,y}\cap\mathfrak{X}_{f_y}$, $\mathfrak{b}(x,y,\cdot)=0$ are solutions. Also, since $\mathfrak{a}(x,x,z)=\mathfrak{a}_x(z)$ and $\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}_x(z))=0$, $\mathfrak{b}(x,x,z)=0$ are solutions.

We denote $(\Xi'_x)^\perp=\{\mathfrak{b}\mid(\mathfrak{b},\nu)_x=0,\forall\nu\in\Xi'_x\}\subset\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$. We define

$$\mathbf{M}_{x,y,z}(\cdot)=\mathbf{L}_x(\mathfrak{a}(x,y,z)+\cdot):(\Xi'_x)^\perp\longrightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_{s-2}/\Xi''_x.$$

By our construction, the linearization $\delta\mathbf{M}_{x,x,x_x}$ at 0 is

$$\delta\mathbf{M}_{x,x,x_x}|_0=P_x\circ\Box_x:(\Xi'_x)^\perp\longrightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(adE)_{s-2}/\Xi''_x,$$

which is an isomorphism. Thus applying the implicit function theorem, for an open $\mathcal{U}'\subset\mathcal{U}$ containing the diagonal $\Delta(U_0)$, we can solve the system $\mathbf{M}_{x,y,z}(\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z))=0$ uniquely and smoothly in (x,y,z). Further, since $g_{x,y}(z)$ is holomorphic in z, and the operator $\mathbf{M}_{x,y,z}$ is a linear operator holomorphic in z, $\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z)$ is holomorphic in z.

We set $\Psi:\mathcal{U}'\to U_0\times V_{U_0}$ to be

$$\Psi_{x,y}(\overline{\partial}_y+\mathfrak{a}_y(z))=g_{x,y}(z)\big(\overline{\partial}_y+\mathfrak{a}_y(z)\big)+\mathfrak{b}(x,y,z)-\overline{\partial}_x.$$

Because $\mathbf{M}_{x,y,\cdot}(0)|_{\mathcal{U}_{x,y}\cap\mathfrak{X}_{f_y}}=0$, we conclude that $\Psi_{x,y}$ is an open embedding of $\mathcal{U}'_{x,y}\cap\mathfrak{X}_{f_y}$ into $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}\subset V_x$. Therefore, Ψ is the desired local trivialization. □

The local trivializations are canonical.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\Xi_a\subset\Xi_b$ be two orientation bundles over U, as in Lemma 5.2. Suppose $U_0\subset U$ is an open subset and $\Psi_i:\mathcal{U}_i\to\mathcal{V}(\Xi_i)_{U_0}\times U_0$, $i=a,b$, be local trivializations constructed. Let $\mathcal{V}(\Xi_a)_{U_0}\subset\mathcal{V}(\Xi_b)_{U_0}$ be the inclusion given by Lemma 5.2. Then*

$$\Psi_a|_{\mathcal{U}_a\cap\mathcal{U}_b}=\Psi_b|_{\mathcal{U}_a\cap\mathcal{U}_b}:\mathcal{U}_a\cap\mathcal{U}_b\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}(\Xi_a)_{U_0}\times U_0\subset\mathcal{V}(\Xi_b)_{U_0}\times U_0.$$

Proof. This follows from that $(\Xi'_{b,x})^\perp\subset(\Xi'_{a,x})^\perp$, and thus Ψ_a is also the solution to the equations for Ψ_b when restricted to $\mathcal{V}_a\subset\mathcal{V}_b$. By the uniqueness of the solution, we have the identity. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let Ξ (resp. Ξ_t) be an orientation bundle (resp. a homotopy of orientation bundles) on U. Then Ξ (resp. Ξ_t) can be complexified locally everywhere.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward, knowing that both Ξ and Ξ_t are analytic. □

5.3. **Complexifications.** We construct complexifications of a family of CS charts.

Proposition 5.7. *The family of CS charts constructed in Proposition 5.1 and the local trivialization constructed in Proposition 5.3 can be complexified locally everywhere.*

Proof. Given an orientation Ξ on an open $U \subset X$, for any $x_0 \in U$, we pick an open neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of $x_0 \in U$ so that $U_0 = X_{f_0}$ for the JS chart (V_0, f_0), where $x_0 = 0 \in V_0$. By Lemma 4.8, we pick an open $0 \in D \subset V_0$ so that $\overline{\partial}_z$ and $\overline{\partial}_z^*$ entend to holomorphic $\overline{\partial}_w = \overline{\partial}_0 + \mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\overline{\partial}_w^* = \overline{\partial}_0^* + \mathfrak{a}_0(w)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\dagger}$ over a complexification $D^{\mathbb{C}}$ of D. By Corollary 5.6, we extend $\Theta_D(\epsilon)$ and $\Xi|_D$ to holomorphic subbundles $\Theta_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}(\epsilon)$ and $\Xi_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}$ of $T_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}\mathcal{B}$. (Here we follow the convention that for any $Z \to \mathcal{B}$, we denote $T_Z\mathcal{B} = T\mathcal{B} \times_{\mathcal{B}} Z$.)

We form the projection P_w as in (5.1), with $\mathcal{E}$ (resp. Ξ''_x) replaced by E (resp. Ξ''_w). We form V_w using (5.3), with $\mathcal{E}_x$ replaced by E and subscript "x" replaced by the subscript "w". Since the proof that the resulting family $\mathcal{V} \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$ is a smooth family of complex manifolds only uses the isomorphism property of the linearization of $\mathbf{L}_x$ and the implicit function theorem, the same study extends to small perturbations of $\mathbf{L}_x$. Thus possibly after shrinking $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ if necessary, the family

$$V_{D^{\mathbb{C}}} = \coprod_{w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}} w \times V_w \subset D^{\mathbb{C}} \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$$

is a smooth family of complex manifolds. Because all $\overline{\partial}_w$, $\overline{\partial}_w^*$ and $\Xi''_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}$ are holomorphic in $w \in D^{\mathbb{C}}$, the system $\mathbf{L}_w$ is holomorphic in w. Therefore, $V_{D^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a complex manifold and is a complex submanifold of $D^{\mathbb{C}} \times \Omega^{0,1}(adE)_s$.

Next we study the issue of being CS charts. Let $\Pi_w : V_w \to \mathcal{B}$ be defined by $\overline{\partial}_w + \cdot$, and define $f_w = \Pi_w \circ cs : V_w \to \mathbb{C}$. We show that (V_w, f_w) are CS charts for $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ (cf. (4.8)) is the complexification of $O_0 = D \cap X$.

Going through the proof of Proposition 5.1, we first need to check that for $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{a} \in V_w$ satisfies the system (cf. (5.5))

$$\overline{\partial}_w^* \mathfrak{a} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_w \circ \overline{\partial}_w^* (\overline{\partial}_w \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a} \wedge \mathfrak{a}) = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

First because $\Xi_{O_0^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is the complexification of Ξ_{O_0}, and $\Xi_x \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_x^*)_s^{0,1}$ for $x \in O_0$, we have that $\Xi_w \subset \ker(\overline{\partial}_w^*)_s^{0,1}$ for $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{a} \in V_w$ means that $\overline{\partial}_w \overline{\partial}_w^* \mathfrak{a} + \overline{\partial}_w^* (\overline{\partial}_w \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a} \wedge \mathfrak{a}) \equiv 0 \mod \Xi''_w$. Thus applying $\overline{\partial}_w^*$ to this relation, we obtain $\overline{\partial}_w^* \overline{\partial}_w \overline{\partial}_w^* \mathfrak{a} = 0$. Finally, since $\overline{\partial}_x^* \overline{\partial}_x : \Omega^0(adE)_s/\mathbb{C} \to \Omega^0(adE)_{s-2}/\mathbb{C}$ are isomorphisms for $x \in D$, by shrinking $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ if necessary, $\overline{\partial}_w^* \overline{\partial}_w$ are isomorphisms for $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$, thus $\overline{\partial}_w^* \mathfrak{a} = 0$. This proves that for all $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$, all $\mathfrak{a} \in V_w$ satisfy (5.11).

After this, mimicking the proof of Proposition 5.1, we see that (V_w, f_w) is a CS chart if for the similarly defined subbundle $R_w \subset V_w \times \Omega^{0,2}(adE)_s$, the similarly defined vector bundle homomorphism $\varphi_w : R_w \to T^{\vee} V_w$ is an isomorphism. But this follows from that φ_x are isomorphism for $x \in O_0$, and that being isomorphism is an open condition. Thus by shrinking $D^{\mathbb{C}} \supset D$ if necessary, all φ_w are isomorphisms for $w \in O_0^{\mathbb{C}}$. This proves that the $\mathcal{V}_{O_0^{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a complexification of $\mathcal{V}_{O_0}$.

The proof that the local trivialization Ψ can be complexified is similar, using that all the operators and families used to construct Ψ are holomorphic on $D^{\mathbb{C}}$, and that the only tool used to construct Ψ is the implicit function theorem. We skip the repetition here. □

5.4. **Homotopy of family of CS charts.** Let $U \subset X$ be open and let Ξ_t, $t \in [0,1]$, be a homotopy between the orientation bundles Ξ_0 and Ξ_1 on U. For any $x_0 \in U$, we pick an open neighborhood $x_0 \in U_0 \subset U$ such that $U_0 = X_{f_0}$ for the JS chart (V_0, f_0), that U_0 has compact closure in U, and that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon)$ is an orientation bundle contained in Ξ_t for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Because of the compactness of the closure of U_0 in U, we can find a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ (in place of $\varepsilon(\cdot) : U_0 \to (0,1)$) such that for each $t \in [0,1]$, we have the family of CS charts $\mathcal{V}_t \subset U_0 \times \mathcal{B}$ by applying Proposition 5.1 using $\Xi_t|_{U_0}$ and the size function $\varepsilon(\cdot) = \varepsilon$. We denote $\mathbf{V}_{[0,1]} = \coprod_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{V}_t$, and call it the homotopy of the families $\mathcal{V}_0$ and $\mathcal{V}_1$.

Applying Proposition 5.1 to the orientation bundle $\Theta_{U_0}(\epsilon)$, using the same $\varepsilon(\cdot) = \varepsilon$, we obtain the family of CS charts $\mathcal{W} \subset U_0 \times \mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 5.8. *We have tautological inclusion* $[0,1] \times \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}_{U_0}$ *as subspace of* $[0,1] \times U_0 \times \mathcal{B}$*. Further, this pair can be complexified locally everywhere.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the complexification of the family of CS charts and their local trivializations studied in the previous subsection. We omit the repetitions. □

6. Perverse sheaves from CS data

In this section we prove Proposition 3.13.

6.1. **A perverse sheaf from a family of CS charts.** In this subsection we prove (1) of Proposition 3.13. Let $\mathcal{V} \subset U \times \mathcal{B}$ be a family of CS charts over U and $\Psi : U \times \mathcal{V} \supset \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} \times U$ be a local trivialization. Let

$$f : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\subset} U \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{pr} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C} \tag{6.1}$$

and let $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the projection from $U \times \mathcal{V}$ or $\mathcal{V} \times U$ to $\mathcal{V}$. We need a technical result that there is a homeomorphism interpolating $(f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Psi$ and $f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}$,

Proposition 6.1. *There is an open subset* $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ *containing the diagonal* $\Delta(U) \subset \mathcal{U}$ *and an injective local homeomorphism* $\Phi : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{U}$ *preserving the projections to* $U \times U$*, such that*

$$(f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Psi \circ \Phi = f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{U}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.13 (1). For $x \in U$, we can choose a sufficiently small open neighborhood O_x of x in U such that O_x is contained in the critical set $X_{f_z} \cap \mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{V}_z \cap \mathcal{U}'$ for any $z \in O_x$. Let $\psi : O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \to \mathcal{V}$ be the restriction of Ψ to $O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \subset U \times \mathcal{V}$ (cf. (6.2)). By shrinking $\mathcal{V}_x$ if necessary and restricting Φ to $O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \subset O_x \times \mathcal{V}|_{O_x}$ over $O_x \times \{x\} \cong O_x$, we have a homeomorphism

$$\lambda_x : O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \xrightarrow{\Phi} O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \xrightarrow{\psi_x} \mathcal{V}$$

that pulls back f to $f_x \circ pr_2$ where pr_2 denotes the projection onto the second factor and $f_x : \mathcal{V}_x \subset \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$. If we further restrict it to $\{z\} \times \mathcal{V}_x$ and vary z in

O_x, we obtain a continuous family of homeomorphisms $\lambda_{x,z} : \mathcal{V}_x \cong \mathcal{V}_z$, defined by $\lambda_{x,z} = \lambda_x|_z$, that pulls back f_z to f_x and thus we have a continuous family of isomorphisms $\lambda_{x,z}^* : A^\bullet_{f_z} \cong A^\bullet_{f_x}$ by Proposition 2.3.

We have to show that the perverse sheaves $P^\bullet_x := A^\bullet_{f_x}[r]$ on $O_x \subset \mathcal{V}_x$ glue to give us a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on U. Let $y \in U$ such that $O_x \cap O_y \neq \emptyset$. For any $z \in O_x \cap O_y$, we have isomorphisms

$$P^\bullet_x \xleftarrow{\lambda^*_{x,z}} P^\bullet_z \xrightarrow{\lambda^*_{y,z}} P^\bullet_y$$

over a neighborhood of z. For another z' in the connected component of $O_x \cap O_y$ containing z, we choose a path z_t for $t \in [0,1]$ with $z_0 = z$, $z_1 = z'$. Then $\lambda^{-1}_{y,z_t} \circ \lambda_{x,z_t} : \mathcal{V}_x \to \mathcal{V}_y$ is a continuous family of homeomorphisms that pulls back f_y to f_x. By Proposition 2.3, we have the equality

$$\lambda^*_{y,z} \circ (\lambda^*_{x,z})^{-1} = \lambda^*_{y,z'} \circ (\lambda^*_{x,z'})^{-1} : P^\bullet_x \xrightarrow{\cong} P^\bullet_y.$$

By Proposition 2.8, $\lambda^*_{y,z} \circ (\lambda^*_{x,z})^{-1}$ glue to give us an isomorphism $\tau_{xy} : P^\bullet_x \cong P^\bullet_y$ over $O_x \cap O_y$.

Now the cocycle condition for gluing $\{P^\bullet_x\}$ is obviously satisfied because $\tau_{vx} \circ \tau_{yv} \circ \tau_{xy}$ at any $z \in O_x \cap O_y \cap O_v$ is $\lambda^*_{x,z} \circ (\lambda^*_{v,z})^{-1} \circ \lambda^*_{v,z} \circ (\lambda^*_{y,z})^{-1} \circ \lambda^*_{y,z} \circ (\lambda^*_{x,z})^{-1} = 1$. Therefore the perverse sheaves $P^\bullet_x$ glue to give us a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on U. □

The proof of Proposition 6.1 requires techniques for complex analytic subspaces. For this, we use the complexification of the family of CS charts and its local trivializations. Let $x \in U$. By assumption, we have an open neighborhood O_x of x in U, a complex manifold $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$ containg O_x as a closed real analytic subset and a *holomorphic* family $\mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \to D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$ of CS charts such that $\mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x}|_{O_x} \cong \mathcal{V} \times_U O_x$ and that there is a holomorphic local trivialization

$$D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \supset \mathcal{U}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightarrow{\Psi^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x.$$

By shrinking O_x and $\mathcal{V}$ around x if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{U}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} = D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x$ and $O_x \subset \mathcal{V}_z$ for all $z \in O_x$. If we restrict Ψ to $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \{x\}$, we get a holomorphic map ψ_x fitting into the commutative diagram

(6.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \arrow[rr, "\psi_x", "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \arrow[dl] \\
& D^{\mathbb{C}}_x. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is a fiberwise open embedding. (We use $\simeq$ to mean injective holomorphic maps.) Let $f : \mathcal{V}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \to \mathbb{C}$ be similary defined as in (6.1), and let $f_x : \mathcal{V}_x \subset \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$. We let

$$\mathbf{f}_0 = (f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \times \psi_x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_1 = (f \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \circ \Psi^{\mathbb{C}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{D^{\mathbb{C}}_x} \times \psi_x);$$

both are holomorphic functions $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 6.2. *After shrinking $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\mathcal{V}_x$ if necessary, there is an open subset $\mathcal{U}'_{\mathbb{C}} \subset D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x$ containing the diagomal $\Delta = \{(y,y,y) \mid y \in D^{\mathbb{C}}_x\}$ and an injective local homeomorphism $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathcal{U}'_{\mathbb{C}} \to D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x$ commuting with the projection $pr_{12} : D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \to D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$ such that $\mathbf{f}_1 \circ \Phi^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{f}_0$.*

By cancelling the isomorphism $\mathrm{id}_{D_x^{\mathbb{C}}}\times\psi_x$ and restricting to the real part $O_x\subset D_x^{\mathbb{C}}$, Proposition 6.1 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.2.

We now prove Proposition 6.2. Let

$$\mathbf{f}_t=(1-t)\mathbf{f}_0+t\mathbf{f}_1$$

be holomorphic functions on $D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{V}_x$. Let $(d_V\mathbf{f}_t)$ be the ideal generated by the partial derivatives of $\mathbf{f}_t$ in the direction of $\mathcal{V}_x$. We will find a homeomorphism Φ in a neighborhood of (x,x,x) such that $\mathbf{f}_1\circ\Phi=\mathbf{f}_0$ by introducing a vertical vector field along the fibers of $pr_{12}:D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{V}_x\to D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}$. We need a few lemmas.

Lemma 6.3. *Let V be a smooth complex manifold and S a complex analytic subspace. Suppose $W_1\subset W_2$ are two closed complex analytic subspaces of $V\times S$ such that the induced projection $W_1\subset V\times S\to S$ is flat, and that there is a closed complex analytic subspace $S_0\subset S$ such that $W_1\times_S S_0=W_2\times_S S_0$. Then there is an open subset $\mathcal{U}_0\subset V\times S$ that contains $V\times S_0$ such that $W_1\cap\mathcal{U}_0=W_2\cap\mathcal{U}_0$ as complex analytic subspaces in $\mathcal{U}_0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{W_2}\to\mathcal{O}_{W_1}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{W_1}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_S$, we have an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}_{S_0}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}_{W_2}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}_{S_0}\xrightarrow{\phi}\mathcal{O}_{W_1}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}_{S_0}\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $W_1\times_S S_0=W_2\times_S S_0$, ϕ is an isomorphism, thus $\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S}\mathcal{O}_{S_0}=0$. As $\mathcal{A}$ is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{V\times S}$-modules, there is an open $\mathcal{U}_0\subset V\times S$, containing $V\times S_0$, such that $\mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{U}_0}=0$. This proves that $W_1\cap\mathcal{U}_0=W_2\cap\mathcal{U}_0$, as complex analytic subspaces of $\mathcal{U}_0$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let Z_t be the complex analytic subspace of $D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{V}_x$ defined by the ideal $(d_V\mathbf{f}_t)$. Then Z_t is independent of t in an open neighborhood of $(x,x,x)\in D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{V}_x$.*

Proof. Let $Z=D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}$ where $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}$ is the critical locus of $f_x:\mathcal{V}_x\subset\mathcal{B}\xrightarrow{cs}\mathbb{C}$ in $\mathcal{V}_x$ defined by the ideal (df_x). We first show $Z_0=Z_1=Z$. Since the critical locus of $\mathbf{f}_i|_{\{z\}\times\{z'\}\times\mathcal{V}_x}$ is $\mathfrak{X}_{f_x}$ by the definition of local trivialization for $i=0,1$, $Z\subset Z_i$ for $i=0,1$. By Lemma 6.3, $Z=Z_i$ for $i=0,1$.

Let $F,G:\mathbb{A}^1\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathcal{V}_x\to\mathbb{C}$ be functions defined by

$$F(t,z,z',p)=(1-t)\mathbf{f}_0(z,z',p)+t\mathbf{f}_1(z,z',p)\quad\text{and}\quad G(t,z,z',p)=\mathbf{f}_0(z,z',p).$$

Since $\mathbf{f}_t$ is a linear combination of $\mathbf{f}_0$ and $\mathbf{f}_1$, we have the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{X}_F:=(d_VF=0)\supset\mathfrak{X}_{G_i}:=(d_VG=0)=\mathbb{A}^1\times Z$$

of analytic subspaces. Also the restrictions of F and G to $\Gamma=\mathbb{A}^1\times\{(z,z)\,|\,z\in D_x^{\mathbb{C}}\}$ coincide and hence $\mathfrak{X}_F|_\Gamma=\mathfrak{X}_G|_\Gamma$. By Lemma 6.3, $\mathfrak{X}_F=\mathfrak{X}_G=\mathbb{A}^1\times Z$ in an open neighborhood of Γ. Restricting to $t\in[0,1]$, we obtain the lemma. □

We need another lemma. Let V and S be as before. We assume $V\subset\mathbb{C}^r$ is an open subset. We endow V the standard inner and hermitian product.

Lemma 6.5. *Let the notation be as stated. Let $Z\subset V$ be a closed complex analytic subspace. Suppose $f:V\times S\to\mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function with only one fiberwise critical value 0 such that the vanishing locus (complex analytic subspace) of d_Vf*

is $Z \times S$*. Then for any convergent* $p_n \to p_0 \in V \times S$ *such that* $d_V f(p_n) \neq 0$ *and* $d_V f(p_n) \to 0$*, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(p_n)}{\|d_V f(p_n)\|} = 0.$$

Proof. We prove the case $S = pt$. The general case is exactly the same. Let $\nu : \tilde{V} \to V$ be the resolution of the ideal sheaf of Z so that $\nu^{-1}(Z)$ is a normal crossing divisor in $\tilde{V}$. Let $\tilde{p}_n$ be the unique lifting of p_n in $\tilde{V} - \nu^{-1}(Z)$. Suppose n_k is a subsequence so that $\tilde{p}_{n_k} \to q \in \nu^{-1}(Z)$. We investigate the limiting behavior of $|f(p_{n_k})|/\|df(p_{n_k})\|$.

By our choice of resolution ν, locally near $q \in \tilde{V}$, the pullback $\nu^*(df)$ of the ideal (df) is a principal ideal sheaf generated by some monomial $\varphi = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m}$ with $k_i > 0$ for holomorphic functions $z_1, \cdots, z_r$ on V such that restricting to the fiber $\tilde{V}$ they give a local coordinates of $\tilde{V}$ centered at q. Let $w_1, \cdots, w_r$ be local coordinates of V centered at p_0. Then φ divides $\nu^* \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i}$ for all i.

We claim that $\nu^* f$ is divisible by $z_1^{k_1+1} \cdots z_m^{k_m+1}$. Indeed if we expand near $z_1 = 0$, $\nu^* f = c_{m_1} z_1^{m_1} + c_{m_1+1} z_1^{m_1+1} + \cdots$, where c_j are holomorphic in $z_2, \cdots, z_r$, and $c_{m_1} \neq 0$. Then φ divides

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \nu^* f = m_1 c_{m_1} z_1^{m_1 - 1} + (m_1 + 1) c_{m_1+1} z_1^{m_1} + \cdots .$$

Hence $m_1 \geq k_1 + 1$ and $z_1^{k_1+1}$ divides $\nu^* f$. Likewise $z_i^{k_i+1}$ divides $\nu^* f$ for each i. Therefore $\nu^*(f) \subset \nu^*(df)\sqrt{\nu^*(df)}$ where $\sqrt{\nu^*(df)}$ is the radical of $\nu^*(df)$. We write $\nu^* f = \sum g_i \cdot \nu^* \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i}$ with $g_i|_{\nu^{-1}(Z)} = 0$. Therefore

$$\nu^* \frac{|f(p_{n_k})|}{\|df(p_{n_k})\|} = \frac{|\nu^* f(\tilde{p}_{n_k})|}{(\sum |\nu^* \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i}(\tilde{p}_{n_k})|^2)^{1/2}} \leq \Big(\sum |g_i(\tilde{p}_{n_k})|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Because $\tilde{V} \to V$ is proper, and because this convergence holds for all convergent subsequence $\tilde{p}_{n_k}$, we find that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(p_n)}{\|df(p_n)\|} = 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 6.2. We use the inner product and the hermitian metric on $\mathcal{V}_x$ via embedding $\mathcal{V}_x \cong V_x \subset \Omega^{0,1}(ad\mathcal{E}_x)_s$. We let $\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t$ be the relative gradient vector field of $\mathbf{f}_t$ on $D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{V}_x$ as the metric dual of $d_V \mathbf{f}_t$, the differential of $\mathbf{f}_t$ in the $\mathcal{V}_x$ direction. Note that $\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t$ is a vertical vector field with respect to the projection $pr_{12} : D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{V}_x \to D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times D_x^{\mathbb{C}}$ which is differentiable on each fiber. We define a time dependent vector field on the complement of $Z = Z_t = (d_V \mathbf{f}_t = 0)$ by

$$\xi_t = \frac{\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}_1}{\|\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t\|^2} \, \overline{\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t}. \tag{6.3}$$

We claim that it extends to a well defined vector field on some neighborhood of (x, x, x) in $D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times D_x^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{V}_x$. It suffices to show that

$$\|\xi_t\| = \frac{|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}_1|}{\|\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t\|} = \frac{|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}_1|}{\|d_V \mathbf{f}_t\|}$$

approaches zero as a point approaches Z. Since $Z_t = Z_0 = Z_1$ by Lemma 6.4, we have an inclusion $(d_V \mathbf{f}_t) \supset (d_V \mathbf{f}_i)$ of ideals for $i = 0, 1$. Hence we can express the vertical partial derivatives of $\mathbf{f}_0$ and $\mathbf{f}_1$ as linear combinations of the vertical partial derivatives of $\mathbf{f}_t$. Thus in a neighborhood of (x, x, x), we have

$$\|d_V \mathbf{f}_0\| \leq C \|d_V \mathbf{f}_t\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|d_V \mathbf{f}_1\| \leq C \|d_V \mathbf{f}_t\|$$

for some $C > 0$. By Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\|\xi_t\| = \frac{|\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}_1|}{\|d_V \mathbf{f}_t\|} \le C^{-1} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{f}_0|}{\|d_V \mathbf{f}_0\|} + \frac{|\mathbf{f}_1|}{\|d_V \mathbf{f}_1\|} \right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } d_V \mathbf{f}_0, d_V \mathbf{f}_1 \to 0.$$

This proves that the vector field ξ_t is well defined in a neighborhood of (x, x, x).

Let $\mathbf{x}_t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ be an integral curve of the vector field ξ_t, so that

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt} = \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t = \xi_t(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Since ξ_t is a vertical vector field for pr_{13}, $\mathbf{x}_t$ lies in a fiber of pr_{13}. Then $\mathbf{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)$ is constant in t because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{f}_t(\mathbf{x}_t) &= d_V \mathbf{f}_t(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t) + \mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_0 = \nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_0 \\ &= \nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t \cdot \frac{\mathbf{f}_0 - \mathbf{f}_1}{\|\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t\|^2} \, \overline{\nabla_V \mathbf{f}_t} + \mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the flow of the vector field ξ_t from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$ gives a homeomorphism Φ of a neighborhood of (x, x, x) into $D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \mathcal{V}_x$ such that $\mathbf{f}_1 \circ \Phi = \mathbf{f}_0$. Because $\xi_t = 0$ for all t over the diagonal $\{(z, z)\} \subset D^{\mathbb{C}}_x \times D^{\mathbb{C}}_x$, Φ is the identity map over the diagonal. □

6.2. **Gluing isomorphisms.**

In this subsection we prove (2) of Proposition 3.13.

We have a family $\mathbf{V}$ of CS charts on $U \times [0, 1]$ with $\mathbf{V}|_{U\times\{0\}} = \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{V}|_{U\times\{1\}} = \mathcal{V}_\beta$. For $x \in U$, there exist an open neighborhood O_x of x in U and a subfamily $\mathcal{W} \times [0, 1]$ of CS charts in $\mathbf{V}|_{O_x\times[0,1]}$. Moreover, we have perverse sheaves $P^\bullet_\alpha$ and $P^\bullet_\beta$ on U given by $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{V}_\beta$ respectively, whose restrictions to O_x are the perverse sheaves of vanishing cycles $A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x}[r]$ and $A^\bullet_{f^\beta_x}[r]$ respectively where $f^\alpha_x : \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_x \subset \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$ and $f^\beta_x : \mathcal{V}_\beta|_x \subset \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that $P^\bullet_\alpha$ was obtained by gluing $A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x}[r]$ by the isomorphisms

$$A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x}[r] \xleftarrow{(\lambda^\alpha_{x,z})^*} A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_z}[r] \xrightarrow{(\lambda^\alpha_{y,z})^*} A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_y}[r]$$

for $x, y \in U$ and $z \in O_x \cap O_y$.

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose for each $x \in U$ we have an isomorphism $\chi^*_x : A^\bullet_{f^\beta_x} \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x}$ such that for $z \in O_x$ we have a commutative diagram of isomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd} A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x} & A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_z} \arrow[l, "(\lambda^\alpha_{x,z})^*"'] \\ A^\bullet_{f^\beta_x} \arrow[u, "\chi^*_x"] & A^\bullet_{f^\beta_z}. \arrow[l, "(\lambda^\beta_{x,z})^*"'] \arrow[u, "\chi^*_z"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.4}$$

Then the isomorphisms χ^*_x glue to give an isomorphism

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P^\bullet_\alpha \to P^\bullet_\beta.$$

Proof. If $z \in O_x \cap O_y$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_x} & A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_z} \arrow[l, "(\lambda^\alpha_{x,z})^*"'] \arrow[r, "(\lambda^\alpha_{y,z})^*"] & A^\bullet_{f^\alpha_y} \\ A^\bullet_{f^\beta_x} \arrow[u, "\chi^*_x"] & A^\bullet_{f^\beta_z} \arrow[l, "(\lambda^\beta_{x,z})^*"'] \arrow[r, "(\lambda^\beta_{y,z})^*"] \arrow[u, "\chi^*_z"] & A^\bullet_{f^\beta_y}. \arrow[u, "\chi^*_y"] \end{tikzcd}$$

By Proposition 2.8 (2), we have an isomorphism $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ that restricts to χ_x^*. □

Lemma 6.7. *Let $W \subset V$ be a complex submanifold in a complex manifold. Let $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function and $g = f|_W$. Suppose the critical loci $\mathfrak{X}_f$ and $\mathfrak{X}_g$ are equal. Let x be a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}_f = \mathfrak{X}_g$. Then there is a coordinate system $\{z_1, \cdots, z_r\}$ of V centered at x such that W is defined by the vanishing of $z_1, \cdots, z_m$ and*

$$f = q(z_1, \cdots, z_m) + g(z_{m+1}, \cdots, z_r) \quad \textit{where } q(z.) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i^2, \quad \text{and} \quad g = f|_W.$$

Proof. We choose coordinates $\{y_1, \cdots, y_r\}$ of V centered at x such that W is defined by the vanishing of $y_1, \cdots, y_m$. Let I be the ideal generated by $y_1, \cdots, y_m$. Since $\mathfrak{X}_f = \mathfrak{X}_g$, i.e. $(df) = (dg) + I$, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}\Big|_W = \sum_{j=m+1}^{r} a_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m$$

for some functions a_{ij} regular at x. By calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= g(y_{m+1}, \cdots, y_r) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}\Big|_W \cdot y_i + I^2 \\ &= g(y_{m+1}, \cdots, y_r) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{r} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i \right) + I^2 \\ &= g(z_{m+1}, \cdots, z_r) + \sum_{i,k=1}^{m} b_{ik} y_i y_k \end{aligned}$$

where $z_j = y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i$ for $j \geq m+1$ and b_{ik} are some functions holomorphic near x. Since the kernel of the Hessian of f at x is the tangent space of $\mathfrak{X}_f = \mathfrak{X}_g \subset W$ at x, the quadratic form $q = \sum_{i,k=1}^{m} b_{ik} y_i y_k$ is nondegenerate near x. Hence we can diagonalize $q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i^2$ by changing the coordinates $y_1, \cdots, y_m$ to new coordinates $z_1, \cdots, z_m$. It follows that $z_1, \cdots, z_r$ is the desired coordinate system. □

Let $\mathcal{V}^t = \mathbf{V}|_{U \times \{t\}}$ so that $\mathcal{V}^0 = \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{V}^1 = \mathcal{V}_\beta$. Let $\delta_t : U \to \mathcal{V}^t$ be the tautologial section which sends $x \in U$ to x in the fiber $\mathcal{V}_x^t \subset \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{V}^t$ over x. Let $\mathbf{f}_t : \mathcal{V}^t \subset U \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$ be the family CS functional.

Lemma 6.8. *For $x \in U$, there exist an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0$ of $\delta_0(x)$ in $\mathcal{V}^0$ and a homeomorphism χ of $\mathcal{U}_0 \times [0, 1]$ into $\mathbf{V}$ such that*

1. *the restriction χ_t (of χ) to $\mathcal{U}_0 \times \{t\}$ is a holomorphic map to an open set in $\mathcal{V}^t$;*
2. *$\chi_t|_{\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{W}}$ maps $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{W}$ into $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}^t$ and is the identity map;*
3. *$\chi_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{V}^0$ is the identity map;*
4. *$\mathbf{f}_t \circ \chi_t = \mathbf{f}_0$.*

Proof. Since $[0, 1]$ is compact, it suffices to find such a χ over an interval $[t_0, t_0 + \epsilon]$ at each $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. For $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, by choosing a complexification of the pair $\mathcal{W} \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbf{V}$ near (x, t_0), we can find coordinate functions $y_1, \cdots, y_r$ of the fibers of $\mathbf{V} \to U \times [0, 1]$ at (x, t_0) such that $y_1, \cdots, y_m$ are holomorphic along fibers of $\mathbf{V} \to U \times [0, 1]$, and $\mathcal{W} \times [0, 1]$ is defined by the vanishing of $y_1, \cdots, y_m$

(cf. Proposition 5.8). Let t be the coordinate for $[0,1]$. We can repeat the proof of Lemma 6.7 with

$$\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{V} \hookrightarrow U \times [0,1] \times \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{pr_3} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$$

and $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f}|_{\mathcal{W}\times[0,1]}$. By Lemma 6.3, $(d_V\mathbf{f}) = (d_V\mathbf{g}) + I$ where $I = (y_1, \cdots, y_m)$ and $(d_V\mathbf{f})$ (resp. $(d_V\mathbf{g})$) denotes the ideal generated by the partial derivatives in the fiber direction of $\mathbf{V} \to U \times [0,1]$ (resp. $\mathcal{W} \times [0,1] \to U \times [0,1]$). Then we obtain a new coordinate system $\{z_i\}$ of $\mathbf{V}$ over $U \times [0,1]$ at (x, t_0) such that $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i^2 + \mathbf{g}(z_{m+1}, \cdots, z_r)$ with $z_j|_{\mathcal{W}\times[0,1]} = y_j$ for $j \geq m+1$. Then the coordinate change from $\{z_j|_{t_0}\}$ to $\{z_j|_t\}$ defines the desired map χ. $\square$

Let $f_x^t : \mathcal{V}_x^t \subset \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{cs} \mathbb{C}$ be the CS functional on the CS chart $\mathcal{V}_x^t$.

Lemma 6.9. *The isomorphism $\chi_1^* : A^\bullet_{f_x^1} \to A^\bullet_{f_x^0}$ induced from χ_1 is independent of the choice of χ.*

Proof. If χ' is another such homeomorphism, then $\chi_t^{-1} \circ \chi'_t : \mathcal{V}_x^0 \to \mathcal{V}_x^0$ is a homotopy of homeomorphisms which is id at $t = 0$. By Proposition 2.3, $(\chi_1^{-1} \circ \chi'_1)^* : A^\bullet_{f_x^0} \to A^\bullet_{f_x^0}$ is the identity. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 6.10. *For each $x \in U$, we have an isomorphism $\chi_x^* : A^\bullet_{f_x^\beta} \xrightarrow{\cong} A^\bullet_{f_x^\alpha}$ such that* (6.4) *holds.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, we have a homeomorphism $\xi_\alpha : O_x \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{O_x} \to \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{O_x} \times O_x$ that gives the gluing isomorphism $(\lambda^\alpha_{x,z})^*$ over (x,z). By construction, the restriction of ξ_α to $(O_x \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{O_x}) \times_{O_x \times O_x} O_x$ to the diagonal $O_x \subset O_x \times O_x$ is the identity map. The composition of homeomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} O_x \times \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{\mathcal{O}_x} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}\times\chi"] & O_x \times \mathcal{V}_\beta|_{\mathcal{O}_x} \arrow[d, "\xi_\beta"] \\ \mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{\mathcal{O}_x} \times O_x \arrow[u, "\xi_\alpha^{-1}"] & \mathcal{V}_\beta|_{\mathcal{O}_x} \times O_x \arrow[l, "\chi^{-1}\times\mathrm{id}"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.5}$$

is the identity over the diagonal $O_x \subset O_x \times O_x$. Upon fixing a local trivialization $\mathcal{V}_\alpha|_{O_x} \cong O_x \times \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}$, we have $A^\bullet_{\mathbf{f}_\alpha} \cong pr_2^{-1} A^\bullet_{f_x^\alpha}$. By Lemma 2.10 for $O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \subset O_x \times O_x \times \mathcal{V}_x \to \mathcal{V}_x$, we obtain the commutativity of the diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} pr_1^{-1} A^\bullet_{\mathbf{f}_\alpha} & pr_1^{-1} A^\bullet_{\mathbf{f}_\beta} \arrow[l] \\ pr_2^{-1} A^\bullet_{\mathbf{f}_\alpha} \arrow[u] & pr_2^{-1} A^\bullet_{\mathbf{f}_\beta} \arrow[l] \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.6}$$

Restricting to the fiber over (x,z), we obtain (6.4) possibly after shrinking O_x. $\square$

By Lemma 6.6 and Lemma 6.10, we have the desired isomorphism $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} : P^\bullet_\alpha \to P^\bullet_\beta$ over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ and thus we proved (2) of Proposition 3.13.

6.3. **Obstruction class.** In this subsection we prove (3) of Proposition 3.13 and complete our proof of Theorem 3.14.

Let $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$. By our construction in §6.2, in a neighborhood of x, $\sigma_{\gamma\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\beta\gamma} \circ \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by a biholomorphic map $\varphi : \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x} \to \mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}$ preserving f_x^α whose restriction to $\mathcal{W}$ is the identity. By Proposition 2.5, $\sigma_{\gamma\alpha} \circ \sigma_{\beta\gamma} \circ \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is $\det(d\varphi|_x) \cdot \mathrm{id}$ and $\det(d\varphi|_x) = \pm 1$. Thus we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued Cech 2-cocycle $\{\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$ of the covering $\{U_\alpha\}$. One checks directly that the cocycle is closed and its cohomology class $\sigma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the *obstruction class* for gluing the perverse sheaves $\{P_\alpha^\bullet\}$.

Proposition 6.11. *Given preorientation data* $\{\Xi_\alpha\}$ *on* X*, the perverse sheaves* $\{P_\alpha^\bullet\}$ *in §6.1 glue to give a globally defined perverse sheaf* $P^\bullet$ *on* X *if and only if the obstruction class* $\sigma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ *vanishes.*

The cocycle $\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is by definition the cocycle for gluing the determinant line bundles $\det(T\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x})$ by the isomorphisms induced from the biholomorphic maps $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x} \cong \mathcal{V}_{\beta,x}$. In particular, $\det(T\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x})$ glue to a globally defined line bundle on X if and only if $\sigma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is zero.

Since a neighborhood O_x of x in $\mathfrak{X}$ is the critical locus of the CS functional on $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}$, we have a symmetric obstruction theory

$$\mathcal{F} := [T_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}} \to \Omega_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{X}}|_{O_x}.$$

Hence the determinant line bundle $\det \mathcal{F}$ is the inverse square of the determinant line bundle of the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}}$. On the other hand, by [28], $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})[2]$ on O_x where $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \times Y \to \mathfrak{X}$ is the projection and $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal bundle. Hence the determinant bundle of $T_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}}$ is a square root of the determinant bundle of $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})[1]$. Therefore if the obstruction class $\sigma \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is zero, then $\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ has a square root.

Suppose $\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ has a square root L. Then the local isomorphisms $\det T_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}} \cong L|_{O_x}$ induce gluing isomorphisms for $\{\det T_{\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,x}}\}$. Therefore the obstruction class σ to gluing them is zero. This implies the gluing of $\{P_\alpha^\bullet\}$ by Proposition 6.11. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.14.

We add that if a square root L of $\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ exists, then any two such square roots differ by tensoring a 2-torsion line bundle (i.e. a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-local system) on X, and vice versa.

Remark 6.12. *In* [16]*, Kontsevich and Soibelman defined orientation data as choices of square roots of* $\det \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ *satisfying a compatibility condition. See Definition 15 in* [16]*. For the existence of a perverse sheaf which is the goal of this paper, it suffices to have a square root. However for wall crossings in the derived category, the compatibility condition seems necessary. See §5 of* [16] *for further discussions.*

7. Gopakumar-Vafa invariants

In this section, we provide a mathematical theory of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariant as an application of Theorem 3.16.

7.1. **Intersection cohomology sheaf.** As before, let Y be a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold over $\mathbb{C}$. From string theory, it is expected that

(1) there are integers $n_h(\beta)$, called the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants (GV for short) which contain all the information about the Gromov-Witten invariants $N_g(\beta)$ of Y so that we have the equality

$$\sum_{g,\beta} N_g(\beta) q^\beta \lambda^{2g-2} = \sum_{k,h,\beta} n_h(\beta) \frac{1}{k} \left(2\sin(\frac{k\lambda}{2}) \right)^{2h-2} q^{k\beta} \tag{7.1}$$

where $\beta \in H_2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$, $q^\beta = \exp(-2\pi \int_\beta c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)))$;

(2) $n_h(\beta)$ come from an $sl_2 \times sl_2$ action on some cohomology theory of the moduli space $\mathfrak{X}$ of one dimensional stable sheaves on Y;

(3) $n_0(\beta)$ should be the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of the moduli space $\mathfrak{X}$.

By using the global perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ constructed above and the method of [8], we can give a geometric theory for GV invariants.

We recall the following facts. All can be found in [6, Chapter 5] or [2].

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a complex algebraic variety and let $Perv(X)$ be the abelian category of perverse sheaves on X over $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the middle perversity.*

(1) *$Perv(X)$ is Artinian and Noetherian. The simple objects are the intersection cohomology sheaf $IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})$ where $\mathcal{L}$ are irreducible local systems on smooth subvarieties in X.*

(2) (Hard Lefschetz theorm) *If $f : X \to Y$ is a projective morphism of quasi-projective varieties, then the cup product induces an isomorphism*

$$\omega^k : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k} Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))$$

where ω is the first Chern class of an relative ample line bundle.

(3) (Decomposition theorem) *If $f : X \to Y$ is a proper morphism of varieties, then*

$$Rf_* IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \oplus_k {}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))[-k]$$

and each summand ${}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))[-k]$ is a finite direct sum of simple perverse sheaves.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be the moduli space of stable sheaves E on Y whose supports are curves of homology class $\beta \in H_2(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ and of $\chi(E) = 1$. In particular, the rank of E is zero and $c_1(E) = 0$. By [20, Theorem 6.11], there is a universal family $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathfrak{X} \times Y$. Let $X = \mathfrak{X}_{red}$ be the reduced complex analytic subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$. Then we have a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on an étale Galois cover

$$\rho : X^\dagger \longrightarrow X = X^\dagger/G$$

which is locally the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles for a CS chart by Theorem 3.16.

Let $\tilde{X}$ be the semi-normalization of $X^\dagger$ and let S be the image of the morphism $\tilde{X} \to Chow(Y)$ to the Chow scheme of curves in Y. By [15], the morphism $\tilde{X} \to X^\dagger$ is one-to-one and hence a homeomorphism because $\tilde{X}$ is projective and $X^\dagger$ is separated. The natural morphism $f : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow S$ is projective and thus the direct image of a simple perverse sheaf $IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})$ on $\tilde{X}$ is a direct sum of simple perverse sheaves on S. In [8], S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi show that the hard Lefschetz theorem applied to f and $c : S \to pt$ gives us an action of $sl_2 \times sl_2$ on the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))$ as follows: the relative Lefschetz isomorphism

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k} Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))$$

for f gives an action of sl_2, called the left action, via the isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(S, Rf_* IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L})) \cong \oplus_k \mathbb{H}^*(S, {}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))[-k])$$

from the decomposition theorem. On the other hand, since ${}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))[-k]$ is a direct sum of simple perverse sheaves, $\mathbb{H}^*(S, {}^p\mathcal{H}^k Rf_*(IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))[-k])$ is equipped with another action of sl_2, called the right action, by hard Lefschetz again. Therefore we obtain an action of $sl_2 \times sl_2$ on hypercohomologies $\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, IC_{\bar{V}}(\mathcal{L}))$ of simple perverse sheaves on $\tilde{X}$.

If $C \in S$ is a smooth curve of genus h, the fiber of f over C is expected to be the Jacobian of line bundles on C whose cohomology is an sl_2-representation space

$$\left((\frac{1}{2}) \oplus 2(0) \right)^{\otimes h},$$

where $(\frac{1}{2})$ denotes the 2-dimensional representation of sl_2 while (0) is the trivial 1-dimensional representation. In [8], letting $X^\dagger = X$ and $G = 1$, the authors propose a theory of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants by using the $sl_2 \times sl_2$ action on $IH^*(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ as follows: It is easy to see that one can uniquely write the $sl_2 \times sl_2$-representation space $IH^*(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q})$ in the form

$$IH^*(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, IC_{\tilde{X}}(\mathbb{Q})) = \bigoplus_h \left((\frac{1}{2})_L \oplus 2(0)_L \right)^{\otimes h} \otimes R_h,$$

where $(\frac{k}{2})_L$ denotes the $k+1$ dimensional representation space of the left sl_2 action while R_h is a representation space of the right sl_2 action. Now the authors of [8] define the GV invariant as the Euler number $Tr_{R_h}(-1)^{H_R}$ of R_h where H_R is the diagonal matrix in sl_2 with entries $1, -1$.

However it is unlikely that the invariant $n_h(\beta)$ defined using intersection cohomology of X will relate the GW invariant of Y as proposed by Gopakumar-Vafa. We propose to use the perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ on $X^\dagger$ constructed above.

7.2. **GV invariants from perverse sheaves.** Since the semi-normalization $\gamma : \tilde{X} \to X^\dagger$ is bijective, the pullback $\tilde{P}^\bullet$ of $P^\bullet$ is a perverse sheaf and $\gamma_* \tilde{P}^\bullet \cong P^\bullet$. Since $Perv(\tilde{X})$ is both Artinian and Noetherian, there is a composition series $0 = P_0^\bullet \subset P_1^\bullet \subset \cdots \subset P_n^\bullet = \tilde{P}^\bullet$ and we can find a unique semisimple perverse sheaf

$$\mathrm{gr}\tilde{P}^\bullet = \bigoplus_i Q_i^\bullet, \quad Q_i^\bullet = P_i^\bullet / P_{i-1}^\bullet.$$

For each simple perverse sheaf $Q_i^\bullet$, we can apply the above argument to find an $sl_2 \times sl_2$ action on the G-invariant part $\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, Q_i^\bullet)^G$ of $\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, Q_i^\bullet)$ to write

$$\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, \mathrm{gr}\tilde{P}^\bullet)^G \cong \bigoplus_i \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, Q_i^\bullet)^G \cong \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_h \left((\frac{1}{2})_L \oplus 2(0)_L \right)^{\otimes h} \otimes R_{i,h}.$$

Definition 7.2. *We define the Gopakumar-Vafa invariant as the sum*

$$n_h(\beta) := \sum_i Tr_{R_{i,h}}(-1)^{H_R}$$

of the Euler numbers of $R_{i,h}$

The GV invariant $n_h(\beta)$ is obviously integer valued and defined by an $sl_2 \times sl_2$ representation theory of $\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, \mathrm{gr}\tilde{P}^\bullet)$ as expected.

Proposition 7.3. *The number* $n_0(\beta)$ *is the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of* $\mathfrak{X}$.

Proof. Recall that the Donaldson-Thomas invariant is the Euler number of X weighted by the Behrend function $\nu_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on X and that $\nu_{\mathfrak{X}}\circ\rho(x)$ for $x\in X^\dagger$ is the Euler number of the stalk cohomology of $P^\bullet$ at $\rho(x)$. Therefore the Donaldson-Thomas invariant of $\mathfrak{X}$ is the Euler number of $\mathbb{H}^*(X^\dagger,P^\bullet)^G$.

Since the semi-normalization $\gamma:\tilde{X}\to X^\dagger$ is a homeomorphism, $\gamma_*\tilde{P}^\bullet\cong P^\bullet$ and $\mathbb{H}^*(X^\dagger,P^\bullet)\cong\mathbb{H}^*(X^\dagger,\gamma_*\tilde{P}^\bullet)\cong\mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X},\tilde{P}^\bullet)$ so that

$$\sum_k(-1)^k\dim\mathbb{H}^k(X^\dagger,P^\bullet)^G=\sum_k(-1)^k\dim\mathbb{H}^k(\tilde{X},\tilde{P}^\bullet)^G.$$

Since $\tilde{P}^\bullet$ has a filtration with $\mathrm{gr}\tilde{P}^\bullet=\oplus_iQ_i^\bullet$, we have the equality of alternating sums

$$\sum_k(-1)^k\dim\mathbb{H}^k(\tilde{X},\tilde{P}^\bullet)^G=\sum_{k,i}(-1)^k\dim\mathbb{H}^k(\tilde{X},Q_i^\bullet)^G.$$

Since the Euler number of the torus part $\big((\frac{1}{2})_L\oplus 2(0)_L\big)^{\otimes h}$ is zero for $h\neq 0$,

$$\sum_{k,i}(-1)^k\dim\mathbb{H}^k(\tilde{X},Q_i^\bullet)^G=\sum_i Tr_{R_{i,0}}(-1)^{H_R}=n_0(\beta).$$

This proves the proposition. □

Furthermore, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7.4. *(1) The GV invariants* $n_h(\beta)$ *are invariant under deformation of the complex structure of* Y.
(2) The GV invariants $n_h(\beta)$ *depend only on* β *and are independent of the constant term* $\chi(E)$ *of the Hilbert polynomial.*
(3) The GV invariants $n_h(\beta)$ *are independent of the choice of a polarization of* Y.
(4) The identity (7.1) *holds.*

Note that for $h=0$, (1) follows from Proposition 7.3 and [28]. Also by [10] and [28], (3) is known for $h=0$. Of course, (1)-(3) are consequences of (4). Furthermore, establishing the identity (7.1) will equate Definition 7.2 with that introduced by Pandharipande-Thomas [26] for a large class of CY 3-folds (cf. [25]).

7.3. **K3-fibered CY 3-folds.** In this last subsection, we show that Conjecture 7.4 holds for a primitive fiber class of K3 fibered CY 3-folds.

We first consider the local case. We let $\Delta\subset\mathbb{C}$ be the unit disk, $t\in\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_\Delta)$ the standard coordinate function, and let $p:Y\to\Delta$ be a smooth family of polarized K3 surfaces. We suppose the central fiber Y_0 contains a curve class $\beta_0\in H^{1,1}(Y_0,\mathbb{R})\cap H^2(Y_0,\mathbb{Z})$, not proportional to the polarization, such that β_0 ceases to be $(1,1)$ in the first order deformation of Y_0 in Y, which means that if we let $\tilde{\beta}\in\Gamma(\Delta,R^2p_*\mathbb{Z}_Y)$ be the continuous extension of β_0 and let $\tilde{\omega}\in\Gamma(\Delta,p_*\Omega^2_{Y/\Delta})$ be a nowhere vanishing section of relative $(2,0)$-form, then $p_*(\tilde{\omega}\wedge\tilde{\beta})\notin t^2\mathcal{O}_\Delta$.

For $c\in\Delta$, we let $\iota_c:Y_c\to Y$ be the closed embedding. We let $\beta\in H^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})$ be such that $\beta_0=\iota_0^!\beta_0$. Since $Y\to\Delta$ is a family of polarized K3 surfaces, the family of relative ample line bundle is ample on Y. We form the moduli $\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$) of one dimensional stable sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$-modules) with $c_2(\mathcal{E})=-\beta$ (resp. $c_1(\mathcal{E})=\beta_0$) and $\chi(\mathcal{E})=1$. Since β is a fiber class, the moduli $\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$ is well defined and is a complex scheme.

Because the polarization of Y restricts to the polarization of Y_0, we have a closed embedding

$$\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)\xrightarrow{\subset}\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1). \tag{7.2}$$

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose* β_0 *ceases to be* $(1,1)$ *in the first order deformation of* Y_0 *in* Y*, and there are no* $c\neq 0\in\Delta$ *such that* $\iota_c^*\tilde\beta\in H^{1,1}(Y_c,\mathbb{R})$*. Then the embedding* (7.2) *is an isomorphism of schemes.*

Proof. We first claim that for any sheaf $[\mathcal{E}]\in\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$, $\mathcal{E}=\iota_{0*}\mathcal{E}'$ for a sheaf $[\mathcal{E}']\in\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$. Indeed, let $\operatorname{spt}(\mathcal{E})$ be the scheme-theoretic support of $\mathcal{E}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is stable, it is connected and proper, thus its underlying set is contained in a closed fiber $Y_c\subset Y$ for some closed $c\in\Delta$. Denoting by the same $t\in\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ the pullback of $t\in\mathcal{O}_\Delta$, since $\mathcal{E}$ is coherent, there is a positive integer k so that $\operatorname{spt}(\mathcal{E})\subset((t-c)^k=0)$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}$ is annihilated by $(t-c)^k$.

Since $t-c\in\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, multiplying by $t-c$ defines a sheaf homomorphism $\cdot(t-c):\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}$, which has non-trivial kernel since $(t-c)^k$ annihilates $\mathcal{E}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is stable, this is possible only if $\mathcal{E}$ is annihilated by $t-c$. Therefore, letting $\mathcal{E}'=\mathcal{E}/(t-c)\cdot\mathcal{E}$, which is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_c}$-modules, we have $\mathcal{E}=\iota_{c*}\mathcal{E}'$. It remains to show that $c=0$. If not, then $c_1(\mathcal{E}')=\iota_c^!\beta$ will be in $H^{1,1}(Y_c,\mathbb{R})$, a contradiction. This prove the claim.

We now prove that (7.2) is an isomorphism. Indeed, by the previous argument, we know that (7.2) is a homeomorphism. To prove that it is an isomorphism, we need to show that for any local Artin ring A with quotient field $\mathbb{C}$ and morphism $\varphi_A:\operatorname{Spec}A\to\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$, φ_A factors through $\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$. By an induction on the length of A, we only need to consider the case where there is an ideal $I\subset A$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}I=1$ and the restriction $\varphi_{A/I}:\operatorname{Spec}A/I\to\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$ already factors through $\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the sheaf of $A\times\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules that is the pullback of the universal family of $\mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta,1)$ via φ_A. As $\varphi_{A/I}$ factors through $\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$, $t\cdot\mathcal{E}\subset I\cdot\mathcal{E}$. If $t\cdot\mathcal{E}=0$, then φ_A factors, and we are done. Suppose not. Then since $\mathcal{E}_0=\mathcal{E}\otimes_A\mathbb{C}$ is stable, there is a $c\in I$ so that $t\cdot\mathcal{E}=c\cdot\mathcal{E}\subset\mathcal{E}$. Thus $(t-c)\cdot\mathcal{E}=0$. We now let $\psi:\operatorname{Spec}A\to\Delta$ be the morphism defined by $\psi^*(t)=c$, and let $Y_A=Y\times_{\Delta,\psi}\operatorname{Spec}A$. Then $Y_A\to\operatorname{Spec}A$ is a family of K3 surfaces with a tautological embedding $\iota_A:Y_A\to Y\times\operatorname{Spec}A$. Then $(t-c)\cdot\mathcal{E}=0$ means that there is an A-flat family of sheaves $\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y_A}$-modules so that $\iota_{A*}\mathcal{E}'=\mathcal{E}$.

Let q be the projection and ι be the tautological morphism fitting into the Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_A \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "q"] & Y \arrow[d, "p"] \\
\operatorname{Spec}A \arrow[r, "\psi"] & \Delta
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $c_1(\mathcal{E}')=\iota^*\tilde\beta$. Thus for the relative $(2,0)$-form $\tilde\omega$, we have

$$0=q_*\big(c_1(\mathcal{E}')\wedge\iota^*\tilde\omega\big)=q_*\iota^*(\tilde\beta\wedge\tilde\omega)=\psi^*p_*(\tilde\beta\wedge\tilde\omega).$$

By the assumption that $p_*(\tilde\beta\wedge\tilde\omega)$ is not divisible by t^2, the above vanishing implies that ψ factors through $0\in\Delta$. This proves that $c=0$ and φ_A factors through $\mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0,1)$. This proves the proposition. □

By the above lemma, we find that the moduli scheme $\mathfrak{X} = X = \mathcal{M}_Y(-\beta, 1) = \mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0, 1)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension

$$\dim X = \beta_0^2 + 2 = 2k,$$

because the obstruction space $Ext^2(E, E)_0$ is trivial for any stable sheaf E on a K3 surface Y_0. Hence we can set $P^\bullet = \mathbb{Q}_X$ and thus $\mathbb{H}^*(X, P^\bullet) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Let

$$Y_0 = S \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1$$

be an elliptic K3 surface and $\beta_0 = C + kF$ where C is a section and F is a fiber. We can calculate the GV invariants in this case by the same calculation as in [8, Theorem 4.7]. Since the details are obvious modifications of those in [8, §4], we briefly outline the calculation. Indeed by Fourier-Mukai transform, X is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $S^{[k]}$ of points on S and the Chow scheme in this case is a complete linear system $\mathbb{P}^k$. The Hilbert-Chow morphism is

$$S^{[k]} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{hc}} S^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathbb{P}^1)^{(k)} \cong \mathbb{P}^k.$$

It is easy to see that the cohomology $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$ of S as an $sl_2 \times sl_2$ representation space by (relative) hard Lefschetz applied to $S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$(\frac{1}{2})_L \otimes (\frac{1}{2})_R + 20 \cdot (0)_L \otimes (0)_R.$$

If we denote by t_L (resp. t_R) the weight of the action of the maximal torus for the left (resp. right) sl_2 action, we can write $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$ as $(t_L + t_L^{-1})(t_R + t_R^{-1}) + 20$. Hence $H^*(S^{(k)}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the invariant part of

$$\left((\frac{1}{2})_L \otimes (\frac{1}{2})_R + 20 \cdot (0)_L \otimes (0)_R \right)^k$$

by the symmetric group action. In terms of Poincaré series, we can write

$$\sum_k P_{t_L,t_R}(S^{(k)}) q^k = \frac{1}{(1 - t_L t_R q)(1 - t_L^{-1} t_R q)(1 - t_L t_R^{-1} q)(1 - t_L^{-1} t_R^{-1} q)(1 - q)^{20}}.$$

Applying the decomposition theorem ([2]) for the semismall map $S^{[k]} \to S^{(k)}$, we find that $\sum_k P_{t_L,t_R}(S^{[k]}) q^k$ is

$$\prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - t_L t_R q^m)(1 - t_L^{-1} t_R q^m)(1 - t_L t_R^{-1} q^m)(1 - t_L^{-1} t_R^{-1} q^m)(1 - q^m)^{20}}$$

which gives

$$\sum_k P_{t_L,t_R}(S^{[k]})|_{t_R=-1} q^k = \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 + t_L q^m)^2 (1 + t_L^{-1} q^m)^2 (1 - q^m)^{20}}. \tag{7.3}$$

By definition, the GV invariants are defined by writing (7.3) as

$$\sum_{h,k} q^k (t_L + t_L^{-1} + 2)^h \otimes R_h(S^{[k]})|_{t_R=-1} = \sum_{h,k} q^k n_h(k) (t_L + t_L^{-1} + 2)^h \tag{7.4}$$

By equating (7.3) and (7.4) with $t_L = -y$, we obtain

$$\sum_{h,k} (-1)^h n_h(k) (y^{\frac{1}{2}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2h} q^{k-1} = \frac{1}{q \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - y q^m)^2 (1 - y^{-1} q^m)^2 (1 - q^m)^{20}}$$

with $\beta_0^2 = 2k-2$. On the other hand, by [22, Theorem 1], we have

$$\sum_{h,k}(-1)^h r_h(k)(y^{\frac{1}{2}} - y^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2h} q^{k-1} = \frac{1}{q\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-yq^m)^2(1-y^{-1}q^m)^2(1-q^m)^{20}}$$

where $r_h(k)$ are the BPS invariants from the Gromov-Witten theory for $Y \to \Delta$. Combining these two identities, we find that

$$n_h(k) = r_h(k),$$

which verifies Conjecture 7.4 for the local Calabi-Yau 3-fold $Y \to \Delta$. We thus obtain

Proposition 7.6. *Let $Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a K3 fibered projective CY threefold and let $\iota_0 : Y_0 \subset Y$ be a smooth fiber. Let $\beta_0 \in H_2(Y_0, \mathbb{Z})$ be a curve class so that its Poincare dual $\beta_0^\vee \in H^2(Y_0, \mathbb{Z})$ ceases to be $(1,1)$ type in the first order deformation of Y_0 in the family Y_c, $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $X_0 = \mathfrak{X}_0 := \mathcal{M}_{Y_0}(\beta_0^\vee, 1) \subset \mathfrak{X} := \mathcal{M}_Y(-(\iota_{0*}\beta_0)^\vee, 1)$ is a (smooth) open and closed complex analytic subspace, and (7.1) holds for the GV invariants of the perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$ (of $\mathfrak{X}$) restricted to X_0 where $N_g(\beta_0)$ in (7.1) are the GW invariants contributed from the connected components of stable maps to Y that lie in Y_0.*

It will be interesting to extend the constructions in this paper to the setting of stable pairs. Then it may be possible to extend the theory of Gopakumar-Vafa invariants to the moduli scheme of stable pairs. Let M be the moduli scheme of stable pairs (F, s) with fixed topological type, where F is a pure sheaf of one dimensional support and $s \in H^0(F)$ which is α-stable for some $\alpha > 0$. We consider the morphism $M \to S$ to the Chow scheme possibly after taking the semi-normalization, sending (F, s) to the support of F. The general fiber over a curve C of genus g is expected to be the symmetric product $C^{(d)}$ for a suitable d defined by the topological type. Let $J_g = [\{(\frac{1}{2})_L + 2g\,(0)_L\}^d]^{S_d}$ be the cohomology of $C^{(d)}$ as an sl_2 representation space. We can write the perverse hypercohomology of M in the form $\bigoplus J_g \otimes R_g$ and define the GV invariant as the Euler number of R_g.

8. Appendix: Square root of determinant line bundle

The purpose of this appendix is to give a direct proof of the following theorem of Hua ([9]), where it is stated only for sheaves. The argument presented below is a simplification of the proof in [9]. A byproduct of this simplification is that the proof now works for any perfect complexes, not just sheaves as in [9].

Theorem 8.1. [9, Theorem 3.1] *Let $\mathcal{E} \to X \times Y$ be a perfect complex of vector bundles; let π be the projection from $X \times Y$ to X; let $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$. Then the torsion-free part of $c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is divisible by 2.*

For a proof, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 8.2. *Theorem 8.1 holds when $\mathcal{E}$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Since the Chern character of $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}$ is 1, by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch together with the Todd class

$$Td_Y = 1 + \frac{c_2(Y)}{12},$$

we find that $c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 8.3. *We may assume* $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\det \mathcal{E})^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}) + c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}) - c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0.$$

Moreover we have

$$c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})) = c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) + c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L})) + c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{L})) + c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{E})).$$

The last two terms cancel by Serre duality and the second term is zero by Lemma 8.2. Hence $c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}))$ is divisible by 2 if and only if $c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}))$ is divisible by 2. □

Proof of Theorem 8.1. Let $\alpha_i = c_i(\mathcal{E})$. By Lemma 8.3, we may assume $\alpha_1 = 0$. Then we have

$$ch(\mathcal{E}) = r - \alpha_2 + \frac{\alpha_3}{2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_4}{12}$$

where r is the rank of $\mathcal{E}$. Since $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$ch(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) = r^2 - 2r\alpha_2 + \alpha_2^2 + \frac{r}{6}(\alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_4).$$

By the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formulas $ch(\pi_!\mathcal{E}) = \int_Y ch(\mathcal{E}) \cdot Td_Y$ and

$$ch(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) = ch(R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) = \int_Y ch(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) \cdot Td_Y,$$

we have

$$c_1(\pi_!\mathcal{E}) = \int_Y \left(\frac{\alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_4}{12} - \alpha_2 \cdot \frac{c_2(Y)}{12} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$c_1(\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_\pi(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})) = \int_Y \left(\alpha_2^2 + \frac{r}{6}(\alpha_2^2 - 2\alpha_4) - 2r\alpha_2 \cdot \frac{c_2(Y)}{12} \right) = \int_Y \alpha_2^2 + 2r\, c_1(\pi_!\mathcal{E}).$$

So it suffices to show that $\int_Y \alpha_2^2$ is divisible by 2. By the Künneth formula, we can write

$$\alpha_2 = \pi^* A_2 + \pi^* A_1 \cdot p^* B_1 + p^* B_2$$

modulo torsion, where $A_i \in H^{2i}(Y,\mathbb{Z})$, $B_i \in H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $p : X \times Y \to Y$ is the projection. Then we have

$$\int_Y \alpha_2^2 = 2(\int_Y A_2 A_1) \cdot B_1$$

which is obviously divisible by 2. □

References

1. K. Behrend. *Donaldson-Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry.* Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1307-1338.
2. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne. *Faisceaux pervers.* Astrisque, **100**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
3. A. Borel et al. *Intersection cohomology.* Notes on the seminar held at the University of Bern, Bern, 1983.
4. C. Brav, V. Buss, D. Dupont, D. Joyce and B. Szendroi. *Symmetries and stabilization for sheaves of vanishing cycles.* Preprint, arXiv:1211.3259.
5. C. Brav, V. Bussi, D. Dupont and D. Joyce. *Shifted symplectic structures on derived schemes and critical loci.* Preprint.
6. A. Dimca. *Sheaves in topology.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
7. D. Gieseker. *A construction of stable bundles on an algebraic surface.* J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), no. 1, 137-154.

8. S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi. *Relative Lefschetz action and BPS state counting.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2001), no. 15, 783-816.
9. Z. Hua. *Spin structure on moduli space of sheaves on Calabi-Yau threefold.* Preprint, arXiv:1212.3790.
10. D. Joyce and Y. Song. *A theory of generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **217** (2012), no. 1020.
11. M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira. *Sheaves on manifolds*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **292**, Springer, 1994.
12. T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Springer-Verlag 1980.
13. M. Khenkin. *The method of integral representations in complex analysis*, Several Complex Variables **1**, 19–116. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 7, Springer-Verlag.
14. K. Kodaira. *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures.* Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
15. J. Kollar. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **32**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
16. M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman. *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations.* Preprint, arXiv:0811.2435.
17. M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman. *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants: summary of results.* Mirror symmetry and tropical geometry, 55-89, Contemp. Math., **527**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
18. M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman. *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants.* Commun. Number Theory Phys. 5 (2011), no. 2, 231-352.
19. R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen. *Elementary construction of perverse sheaves*. Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 2, 403-435.
20. M. Maruyama. *Moduli of stable sheaves. II.* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), no. 3, 557-614.
21. D. Massey. *The Sebastiani-Thom isomorphism in the derived category*. Compositio Math. **125** (2001), no. 3, 353-362.
22. D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande and R. Thomas. *Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms. With an appendix by A. Pixton.* J. Topol. **3** (2010), no. 4, 937-996.
23. K. Miyajima. *Kuranishi family of vector bundles and algebraic description of EinsteinHermitian connections.* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **25** (1989), 301-320.
24. R. Narasimhan. *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **25**, Springer-Verlag 1966.
25. R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton. *Gromov-Witten/Pairs correspondence for the quintic 3-fold.* Preprint, arXiv:1206.5490.
26. R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas. *Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category.* Invent. Math. **178**, 407–447.
27. E. Spanier. *Algebraic topology.* McGraw-Hill, 1966.
28. R. Thomas. *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations.* J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), no. 2, 367-438.

Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `kiem@math.snu.ac.kr`

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, USA
E-mail address: `jli@math.stanford.edu`